Heritage and Destiny

£3.00 / $6.00 Issue 76 Stand Men of the West – Today is the day we fight! January-February 2017



Trumping America's liberal elite

After Donald Trump's impressive Presidential victory, which way now for the American nationalist movement?

see pages 2,3,4 and 18

marine2017.fr

DAS RECHT GEHT
VOM VOLK AUS

ernative
für
Deutschland
Unser
ere

also in this issue:

Julian Langness gives his (nationalist) Predictions for the Coming Year

see page 10-12

WHITE
POWER

and:

Mark Cotterill reviews *Imperium* – Daniel Radcliffe's fantasy movie about the American neo-Nazi movement

see pages 24

Editor: Mark Cotterill: Assistant Editors: Martin Kerr and Peter Rushton
Webmaster: Peter Rushton: Sales Manager: Anne Wright
Patrons: Peter Marshall Ph.D; David King, Dale Hildebeitel
UK address: 40 Birkett Drive, Preston, Lancashire, PR2 6HE, Great Britain
Tel: (44) 07833 677484: Website: www.heritageanddestiny.com
ISSN 1741-8941: Electronic Mail: heritageanddestiny@yahoo.com
Opinions expressed in articles are the authors' own, and should not be taken to represent *H&D's* viewpoint

Editorial

Welcome to issue 76 of *Heritage and Destiny.* Well if 2017 is politically anything near as interesting and controversial as 2016, then we are in for one heck of a treat.

Perhaps the most surprising election result of the year was not 'Brexit' in June, although that was a shock to many, but the victory of Donald Trump over Hilary Clinton in November. For many in the nationalist movement, especially the *Stormfront* loons in America, Trump's victory was seen as victory for White people and for 'our side.' Sadly this is far from the case.

IRA/Sinn Fein leader Gerry Adams (*left*) greeting Donald Trump at a New York City (American) 'Friends of Sinn Fein' fund raising dinner in the late 1990s. Guests paid $1,000 plus for a plate.

As I wrote on my *Facebook* page the day after the election. *"Before everybody to the 'right' of Theresa May starts congratulating America for voting Trump into the White House, they should remember who he used to hang around with and support in New York City in the 1990s. When good American Patriots were supporting the Loyalist cause and the AF-BNP, Trump was supporting American Friends of Sinn Fein. Be very careful what you wish for."*

IRA/Sinn Fein leader Gerry Adams was also one of the first to congratulate Trump on his victory over Clinton. Here is the opening line from his speech in the *Dáil Éireann* (Irish Parliament) on November 9th. *"The people of the USA have spoken. Donald Trump had been elected as the 45th President of the USA. I congratulate him. The onus is on the President Elect to represent all the people of the USA and to play a positive and progressive role in world affairs."*

As I write this editorial it seems that Trump is now going back on many of his 'right-wing' election pledges, even before he has been sworn into office! Although, to his credit he has stayed firm on his 100% support for Israel and the Zionist cause!

While Trump is happily dinning out with terrorists, Britain's new Home Secretary Amber Rudd is happily banning them! On December 20th she proscribed National Action (NA), a small national socialist group, mainly made up of young men under the age of thirty, under the 2000 Terrorism Act. The NA was deemed to a terrorist group, because of various postings by some of their more immature members made on social media.

Rudd claimed that apart from being 'racist,' 'anti-Semitic' and 'homophobic' the NA endorsed the murder of Jo Cox MP, by placing the slogan: "Death to traitors; freedom for Britain," on their website and that similar words are said to have been used by Cox's murderer, Thomas Mair, whom many in the establishment are also calling a terrorist. Well if that's the case, why was Mair not charged with terrorism?

Of course neither the NA nor Mair are terrorists, 'neo-Nazi' or otherwise. Mair was not even part of the movement and never had been. Some in the media did try to link Mair to us, via a very dodgy former FBI grass, but we exposed his lies, and came out relatively unscathed.

Whether the Government's first banning order of a nationalist group since WWII, will be followed by others only time will tell. Rudd, described the NA as having a 'vile ideology' in the same sentence as its alleged glorification of violence. Now as Andrew Brons correctly pointed out. *"having a vile ideology – always a subjective judgment – is not a ground for proscription in law. She should have restricted herself to detailing activities of the group that, she says, are supportive of terrorism. Making comments about the group's ideology will open her to the charge that she is motivated by political animosity rather than by its alleged terrorist activities."*

Moving onto 2017, which will see important elections in both France and Germany where nationalists could make real breakthroughs. Whether these will be followed in Britain and America seems unlikely in the short term. Trump has awoken many White Americans, just as the Brexit vote did with White Britons in the UK. But will they just go back to sleep again next year?

If you are an active Nationalist why not buy some extra copies of this issue to give out (or sell them!) at your next branch meeting – it's another great issue and should 'sell like hot cakes'! And as always we also need your regular donations – however large or small, every Pound, Dollar or even Euro counts. Please try and send in whatever you can afford. Thanks once again for your support, together we will win.

Heritage and Destiny

***Heritage and Destiny* reflects a cross-section of 21st century racial nationalist opinion. It is independent of all political organisations and parties. Unless stated otherwise, views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Heritage and Destiny* are the sole responsibility of the writers concerned and do not necessarily reflect the views of the editor or other staff.**

We welcome enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. However, the editor reserves the right to refuse to publish any advertisement submitted, without giving reasons. The appearance of any advertisement in *Heritage and Destiny* does not necessarily indicate that the editor knows anything about, endorses or is interested in the product, organisation, event or service being advertised.

Contributors and advertisers should bear in mind that *Heritage and Destiny* is subject to the laws of the United Kingdom, including our infamous race laws. Refusal to publish certain items should therefore not be taken as a personal or political affront!

The editor is pleased to receive articles for possible publication, preferably by disk or email. No payment is made for articles. Unless the writer specifically requests otherwise, articles become *Heritage and Destiny* copyright. The editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication. Those wishing to republish *Heritage and Destiny* items in other formats should be sure when doing so to give public acknowledgment to both the writer and *Heritage and Destiny* and to include in the acknowledgment the magazine's full address.

Trump wins! Now what?

Against very long odds, Donald Trump defeated Hillary Clinton to become the 45th president of the United States. I was very pessimistic on election night as the polls all had Clinton up by a few points. Moreover, demographics have shifted severely (due to mass third world immigration) in the past 40 years to favor Democrats in national elections.

Still, I figured Trump winning the Republican nomination as well as putting issues such as immigration, crime and political correctness on the map had at least moved the ball in our direction. Besides, his nomination meant the end of Bush Republicanism and its trademark wars, "free" trade, unlimited immigration and submission to the left on all cultural/racial issues.

But as almost all of the "swing states" started going for Trump, it soon became apparent that he would be our next president. The difference? White working class voters in states such as Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Iowa and Michigan turned out in force for the populist billionaire. Unlike recent GOP candidates such as John McCain and Mitt Romney, Trump spoke to their issues and concerns such as trade, jobs and terrorism. He openly sided with Americans over immigrants and foreigners and even used "America First" as a campaign slogan. Many US pundits compared his win to the Brexit win in the UK a few months ago.

A delegation of UKIP officials – among the first overseas visitors to congratulate Donald Trump on his election victory. *(left to right)* Gerry Gunster, American pollster who assisted the Leave. EU campaign during this year's referendum; Arron Banks, chief financial backer of the Farage faction in UKIP; President-elect Trump; Nigel Farage, then caretaker-leader of UKIP; Andrew Wigmore, head of communications for Leave.EU and right-hand man to Arron Banks; and Raheem Kassam, former Farage aide and London editor of Breitbart News, the website whose U.S. boss is now Trump's White House Chief of Staff.

Demographic Breakdown

Voting in the US is often a racial headcount and 2016 was no exception. But there were a few surprises. Despite criticism that Trump is a "racist" who was appealing to white voters, he actually did one point worse with whites (58%) than Romney (59%) did in 2012. Clinton only earned 37% of the white vote compared to Obama's 39% in 2012 (5% of whites voted third party).

Trump also did better with non-whites than Romney, increasing the GOP share with blacks (8% versus 6% in 2012), Asians (29% versus 27% in 2012) and even Hispanics (29% versus 28% in 2012). Increasing the non-white vote was the GOP elites' main goal after their 2012 loss to Obama. How ironic that the man they derided as a "racist" was the one to do this!

The main stat that jumps out is that 67% of non-college educated whites (the working class) voted for Trump. This demographic is, as noted, the largest group by far in many Midwest states not yet impacted by over-immigration. These states had been trending Democrat due to their dislike of GOP economic policies. The Trump campaign wisely targeted these forgotten people who constitute the only true swing vote left in the US.

Votes are still being counted as I write this, but it appears Clinton will actually win the popular vote by a small margin. [*Editor's note: In fact Clinton ended up winning the popular vote by quite a large margin: 2.1%, the same as fellow Democrat Jimmy Carter in 1976, who of course also won the electoral college and was elected President.*]

The Trump momentum helped the GOP at the congressional and state levels as well. Trump will have a Republican House and Senate to work with as he attempts to push his policies through Congress. The GOP controls the Senate by a 51-49 margin (Bernie Sanders is an Independent who mostly votes with the Democrats). They also control the House by a 237-193 margin.

One race that did not go well for our side is the US Senate election in Louisiana. Longtime racial activist David Duke only garnered 3% of the vote (he received nearly 60,000 votes). Still, the winner of this election, John Kennedy, is a Republican who will vote with Trump on most issues.

Reactions Left and (Alt) Right

The left in the US had expected to win this race and their reaction has been typical of these specimens. Protests, tears, flag burnings and hysterics among the largely female (and effeminate male) white lefties combined with race riots and mob beatings of whites among the blacks. A white female high school student in California was beaten by blacks for supporting Trump while a white Trump supporter in Chicago was beaten by a mob in the street yelling anti-white slurs. Both attacks were caught on videotape. Of course, the media is full of reports of violence by Trump supporters against blacks. Remarkably enough, there are no witnesses or videos of these attacks despite supposedly taking place in public places. Thanks to social media and a growing right-wing media, millions know that these attacks are hoaxes.

The reaction on the American alt-right was quite different. It is the fastest growing political segment in the US and is now routinely covered in mainstream outlets such as the *New York Times, Washington Post, USA Today, Fox News, CNN* and others. They have been given much of the credit for electing Trump – and rightly so!

While some dismiss people such as Breitbart editor Stephen Bannon, columnist Ann Coulter, technology investor Peter Thiel and even Trump himself as "alt-lite," the fact is they have put political and racial issues like immigration and crime on the map. They reach



Kris Kobach, Kansas Governor and anti-immigration campaigner, has been appointed to Trump's Cabinet.

millions with their columns, websites and TV appearances. Now these leaders are starting to fill the ranks of the Trump administration.

Yahoo News devoted a profile to the alt-right in the wake of Trump's victory:

“Donald Trump's presidential win took many — including members of his own campaign — by surprise Tuesday night. But for members of the alt-right, the amorphous, nationalist fringe movement that gained mainstream recognition during the 2016 campaign, the brash businessman's ascension through the Republican primary ranks and ultimately to the presidency was a victory many years in the making.”

The piece quotes *American Renaissance* editor Jared Taylor extensively:

“This is a very, very significant victory against virtually the entire American political and media class. We take it for granted that blacks will vote for candidates who promise good things for blacks. The same for Hispanics as well. You could argue that, for the first time, whites are clearly beginning to vote the way people of other races do — that is to say, in their own interests. Building a wall to keep out illegals, sending home all illegals, taking a very hard look at Muslims, ending sanctuary cities, putting an end to birthright citizenship …”

What Now?

The next few months will determine whether Trump will carry through on his promises. Early indications are that he will. In addition to appointing Bannon as chief strategist, Trump has named Kansas Secretary of State Kris Kobach to his staff. Kobach is one of the leaders of the anti-immigration movement in the US and he even coined the term “self-deportation.”

Even establishment Republicans are getting in line. Some of Trump's fiercest critics in the GOP are now praising him. This includes House Speaker Paul Ryan who will likely have to move Trump's legislation through Congress.

More importantly, many American whites are starting to think – and vote – according to their own racial interests. Mainstream white pundits are starting to push back against black race advocates on TV in a way that I would not have thought possible even a week ago. Social media is filled with whites noting the discrimination and double standards they must live with on a daily basis. Racial politics has long been a fact of life in the US. Only now, whites are starting to play as well.

We in the US anxiously await (and expect) the arrival of the English Donald Trump!

James Knight, Washington DC, USA

Editor's note's: 1. Mr Knight's article was written soon after Donald Trump's victory and while his Cabinet appointments were still taking shape. 2. The only nationalist candidate apart from David Duke (that I know of) in the whole of the USA, was Rik Tyler, who ran as an independent on a ‘Make America White Again’ for Congress in Tennessee's Third District (which includes Chattanooga). Tyler came third out of five candidates with 5,091 votes — 1.93%. To his credit Tyler plans to run again in 2018 (check out his website at - ***ricktylerforcongress.com*** *- . Let us hope he is not the only nationalist candidate next time. American Alt-right please take note.*





HELP US
FREE
BILL
WHITE

William A. White 13888-084, U.S.P. Marion, P.O. Box 1000, Marion, IL 62959

Author and activist Bill White is facing his eighth Federal trial in only six years. His real “crime” is being an outspoken political dissident. Bill is accused of extorting a U.S. judge and a U.S. attorney into dismissing charges against 14 White political prisoners. Recent investigative reports, however, reveal that Bill White was framed. He desperately needs to raise $3,000 right away for investigators to prove his innocence.

DONATE/BUY DIRECTLY:
POISONED PEN PUBLISHING
P.O. Box 2770
Stafford, VA 22555
Attn: Defense Fund
OR BUY HIS BOOKS AT
WWW.AMAZON.COM
www.amazon.com/shops/
A2J0JWM0DDKTJ5

Centuries of Revolution: Democracy, Communism & Zionism
$25 plus $4 S&H inside the U.S.

The Tradition of the Mother: The Aryan & the Non-Aryan in the Mideast & Europe
$25 plus $4 S&H inside the U.S.

For more info on the trial visit:
WWW.BILLWHITETRIAL.INFO

Clive Derby-Lewis: 1936-2016

Clive Derby-Lewis died in hospital in Pretoria on November 3rd. He had been granted medical parole in May 2015, suffering from terminal lung cancer, but had spent the previous 22 years in prison for the murder of South African Communist Party leader Chris Hani. Derby-Lewis was a tragic racial nationalist hero. He died still believing that Hani's assassination had been a successful operation by South Africa's doomed White resistance, and a video interview with Derby-Lewis has been released posthumously (in accordance with his instructions) in which he further obscures the true story of the killing. The truth is that Chris Hani's murder served the interests of rival factions within the African National Congress, allied to corrupt international business interests including the UK arms industry. Derby-Lewis and the Polish anti-communist assassin Janusz Walus (who is still awaiting parole) were sincere patriots, but unwitting tools of a more sinister agenda.

Clive Derby-Lewis (*right*) with Gregory Lauder-Frost in Brussels for the 1990 conference of the World Anti-Communist League.

Born in Cape Town three years before the Second World War, Clive Derby-Lewis was of mixed German, Scots and Afrikaner ancestry. Unlike the vast majority of Afrikaners, he was a Roman Catholic until becoming disillusioned by the left-liberal takeover of the Vatican, which led him to convert to the pro-apartheid Afrikaner Protestant Church. Trained as a chartered accountant, Derby-Lewis spent many years as a local and provincial councillor for the ruling National Party in the Johannesburg suburb of Bedfordview.

In 1982 he joined a breakaway faction headed by the NP's Transvaal leader, Dr Andries Treurnicht, which became the South African Conservative Party (KP). Supporters of this new party correctly perceived that the power-sharing proposals of NP Prime Minister P.W. Botha (allowing some Asians and mixed-race 'Coloureds' into Parliament) would begin the slide towards black majority rule. Derby-Lewis served on the party's General Council for a decade and became an MP in 1987. At that year's general election the KP gained 26.6% of the nationwide vote to become the main parliamentary opposition to the NP. Derby-Lewis was the party's chief economic spokesman.

In 1989 the party advanced further to 31.5%, though Derby-Lewis lost his parliamentary seat. Earlier that year he accompanied KP leader Treurnicht on a visit to London, where they were hosted by members of the Monday Club at a meeting in the House of Lords. In October 1989 Derby-Lewis returned to the UK, addressing a fringe meeting at the Tory conference in Blackpool organised by Western Goals, essentially the same group of Monday Club activists who had arranged the previous visit.

Derby-Lewis became an honorary vice-president of Western Goals. During the late 1980s and early 1990s splits within the Tory right in the UK mirrored the divisions in South Africa. The 'libertarian' faction who had controlled the UK's Federation of Conservative Students (FCS) formed the International Freedom Foundation, and were very close to the Botha and De Klerk governments in Pretoria, from whom they received funding. Meanwhile more racially conscious patriots within the Monday Club formed Western Goals: they recognised the dangerous treachery of the South African Government, and allied with Derby-Lewis and the KP.

Derby-Lewis's friends in the UK included the historian David Irving and Monday Club political secretary Gregory Lauder-Frost (a co-founder of Western Goals who is now vice-president of the Traditional Britain Group). He also shared Western Goals platforms with Jonathan Bowden, a legendary figure in British nationalism (see obituary in *H&D* 49).

Despite its status as the main opposition party in South Africa's Parliament and its substantial bloc of MPs, the KP was comprehensively defeated by De Klerk's 'reformist' bandwagon. In February 1992 their hopes were boosted by a by-election victory over the NP in Potschefstroom, a Transvaal university town. Yet the following month De Klerk easily won his referendum on constitutional changes, which effectively ended apartheid – the changes passed with a 69% majority. The KP had suffered among 'respectable' conservatives from association with the ultra-militant AWB of Eugene Terreblanche, and was further divided over whether to campaign for a separate White 'homeland' or *Volkstaat*.

With the transfer of power underway, Derby-Lewis became involved in the conspiracy which was to end his political career and destroy the KP – the assassination of Chris Hani, leader of the South African Communist Party and chief of staff for the ANC's armed wing, *Umkhonto we Sizwe* ('Spear of the Nation').

Hani was shot dead outside his home in the Transvaal city of Boksburg on 10th April 1993. The assassin was Polish-born Janusz Walus, who had emigrated to South Africa a decade earlier. He was swiftly captured by police, and his gun was found to have been supplied by Derby-Lewis, who was arrested on 18th April. KP leader Treurnicht collapsed and died during a heart operation four days later.

Investigators quickly found that Derby-Lewis and Walus (who had met in the mid-1980s via Derby-Lewis's anti-communist Stallard Foundation) had a 'hit-list' compiled by journalist and former security branch sergeant Arthur Kemp: Hani's name and details of his home featured on Kemp's list.

Kemp had been regularly supplying information about Derby-Lewis and other racial nationalist activists to Jerry Pieterse, an agent of De Klerk's National Intelligence Service (NIS) who operated under journalistic cover. By 22nd April Kemp had been released: he later moved to the UK where he has operated inside sections of the British nationalist movement since the late 1990s. Meanwhile Derby-Lewis and Walus were convicted of murder and sentenced to death in October 1993 – the sentence was effectively commuted to life imprisonment because a moratorium on executions had been introduced in 1990. (The death penalty was formally abolished by South Africa's constitutional court in 1995.)

Although many convicted killers (including ANC terrorists and former state operatives) were released under amnesty during the 22 years of Derby-Lewis's incarceration, he and Walus were denied amnesty because they refused to talk. This reinforced the false impression that Hani's assassination had been a straightforward 'right-wing' conspiracy, designed to provoke widespread black violence which could justify a military intervention and ultimately a White counter-revolution.

Yet (partly thanks to Kemp's intelligence) the South African security forces had long known about Derby-Lewis's plans and covertly facilitated them. The real beneficiaries of Hani's death were those inside De Klerk's establishment who were colluding with corrupt ANC leaders, including Hani's main factional rival and nominal boss as Commander-in-Chief of *Umkhonto we Sizwe*, Joe Modise. As early as 1968, Modise had wanted Hani killed for 'insubordination' but was overruled by ANC colleagues. While Hani was a genuine (if bloodthirsty) communist, Modise was a criminal thug, having risen from the ranks of a street gang called the Spoilers in Johannesburg's Alexandra township. During the weeks before his death, Hani had been threatening to expose Modise's corruption, which included diamond smuggling, selling off surplus ANC weapons for his personal profit, and massive bribes from British Aerospace, who knew that as future Defence Minister Modise would control lucrative arms contracts. Sadly the assassination for which Clive Derby-Lewis will always be remembered was designed to serve this agenda, not to save White South Africa.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Book Review: *Haiters, Baiters and Would-Be Dictators*, by Nick Toczek – Part II

Haters, Baiters and Would-Be Dictators: Anti-Semitism and the UK Far Right by Nick Toczek, Published by Routledge, Taylor and Francis Group, 2016, ISBN: 978-1-138-85348-5 (hardback), or 978-1-85350-8 (paperback), 292 pages. Available for £90.00 (hardback) or £25.00 (paperback) from Bookpoint, 130 Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, OX14 4SB, UK, or online at – www.routledge.com

Editor's note: Part I of this review appeared in *H&D* 75

Interestingly Toczek reveals that Beamish contributed an article in the 26th June 1926 issue of the Nazi Party paper the *Völkischer Beobachter* in which he discussed three possible solutions to the Jewish problem – extermination, assimilation or deportation to a Jewish homeland.

Beamish explicitly rules out extermination on ethical grounds, although how credible Jews might find his stance there I am not sure. In the real world, even if exterminating an ancient people with an interesting and unique culture could be justified – and on principle it could not: we Nationalists are surely defenders of human biological and cultural diversity, not its reducers – it could only really be carried out by exactly the ruthless totalitarian World State the likes of Beamish thinks the Jews themselves seek to create. Otherwise there would be very angry survivors who escaped from or were never in reach of the exterminators, as may well have already happened once, strengthening Jewish identity and grudges against their persecutors.

Henry Hamilton Beamish (1873-1948) was the central figure in the network of anti-Jewish authors, publishers and activists described in Nick Toczek's new book.

Beamish rules out assimilation on the grounds – historically untrue – that it doesn't happen and – biologically untrue – that Jews are some sort of alien parasitic sub-race. Actually, as one may read in Professor Baker of Oxford University's classic 1970s work *Race*, the last work on this topic likely to see mainstream publication for some time I fear, biologically Jews are a genetically to some extent isolated population within the Armenid subrace, which also includes Armenians, of the White or Caucasoid race. Genetic isolation over many generations enforced by social segregation has led to accidental effects of genetic drift in inbred populations – many Jews "look Jewish" – and the effects of selection pressure for both strong in-group identification (Jews weakly bonded to their ethnic in-group "married out", converted or otherwise left the community, generation after generation) and high intelligence. Jewish ghetto culture valued the intellectual Talmudic scholar above all others, and good ones were supported by the community, got their pick of the women and had the upkeep of their children again subsidised by their community. The result was that intelligence translated into reproductive success. In mediaeval Europe both Christian and Jew recruited their best and brightest into a life of religion. But the Jews who followed that life were encouraged to have many children. The Christians were forbidden to have any. This no doubt accounts for the fact that Jews score above the rest of the White population on the same tests Negroes score below us on, and – as their detractors resent – are disproportionately represented in intellectual professions like law, mathematics and physics scholars etc. As an earlier review in *H&D* noted, ethnic quotas in US Ivy League universities were introduced in the 1920s not to bring Blacks (who underperformed in objective tests) in, but to keep Jews (who overperformed in them) out. It follows that, given their small relative numbers and high innate intelligence, Jewish genes would be an asset to our race, not a liability as Beamish thought.

With regard to Beamish's favoured solution, a Jewish Homeland, having abandoned his original choice of Palestine as the Jewish Homeland, apparently because he realised people already lived there, Beamish for the rest of his life adopted Madagascar for that purpose, apparently without realising that people already lived there too. His Madagascar Plan was pressed upon the German Government in the 1930s by Beamish, and apparently was taken seriously by them for a while. The British Government considered Uganda for the same purpose, and Stalin, who seems to have had something of a sense of humour here, in the 1930s set up a Jewish Autonomous Region in Birobidzhan, on the Siberian/Outer Mongolian border.

The question of the location of a Jewish homeland is certainly difficult. The Zionist line anent Palestine "a land without a people for a people without a land" is just the sort of chilling *terra nullius* erasure of a long-established indigenous people that liberals of Jewish origins are themselves in the lead in decrying when applied, for example, to Australia, despite the fact that the population density of the farming communities and towns of Palestine their own people proposed to displace was over a hundred times that of the Palaeolithic hunter-gatherer aborigines of Australia. As things turned out, in a stroke of historic irony, in 1948 the Jews got the parts of Palestine which, except for Galilee, had historically never been Jewish whilst the Palestinians were left with the West Bank which historically was the heartland of the Iron Age kingdoms of Israel and Judah, whilst losing the ancient Palestinian Cities of the Plain. Each side got the wrong bit! We are where we are now, but some sort of two state solution with external guarantees of both states' borders is probably indicated. The possession of nuclear weapons by any state in this unstable area is also most undesirable.

Toczek describes how Beamish sucked around the grubbier fringes of the Nazi regime, proudly wearing his swastika armband whilst sharing a platform with Julius Streicher, a man even the other leading Nazis regarded as a lunatic and who was quietly marginalised in 1940. Toczek does not say if Streicher gave his buddy Beamish a go of his famous rhinoceros-hide whip, which in my view was better off on its original owner.

Beamish did not just hate Jews. In 1937 speaking at a meeting of Arnold Leese's Imperial Fascist League he referred to Russians as "animal life people" and said that in the next few years a great leader, probably Hitler, would have to march into Russia and put one half of the population in the lethal chamber and the other half in the zoo. For some reason when Hitler, who evidently agreed with Beamish here, did march in with that evident intent he was not welcomed, as almost anyone else would have been, as a liberator by the Russian people, who were left with no choice but to stick with Stalin. This attitude to a great European White people, together with a needless declaration of war on the USA, playing into the hands of F.D. Roosevelt who wanted just such a war but could not get Congress to declare it, are arguably the two main reasons Hitler lost. Leaving the rest of us to wipe up the unpleasant mess he left on our political doorstep and making the world safe for Political Correctness, Mass Immigration and, ironically, Zionism. Our Race, Nation and Civilization would be a lot better off to my mind had this gentleman never been born, and much of the harm to our Cause – and to very large numbers of innocent people, some but by no means all of them Jewish – he did came from taking loony ideas of the sort espoused by Beamish *et al.* seriously.

Beamish died as ineffectually and obscurely as he had lived in 1948. No loss to the Movement.



Nick Toczek, best known to a wider public as an author of children's books, is a longstanding anarchist and author of one previous book on the "far right": ***The Bigger Tory Vote.***

Toczek then goes back to mediaeval Europe for a whinge about how badly his people were treated then. As they were, but let us not forget Judaism was the only non-Christian religion tolerated at all in that society. Mediaeval Europe, governed in religious matters by what was, and knew it was, in origin a Jewish sect, indeed ill-treated the Jews, but it also allowed them to exist and the Church authorities repeatedly intervened to protect them and rein back pogroms. The indigenous faiths of our own people in contrast were ruthlessly persecuted and driven underground. Toczek reveals the interesting fact here that the Jews supposedly murdered by Christians in Clifford's Tower in York in 1190 were not in fact murdered by the Christians but committed suicide: "The men killed their own wives and children before setting fire to the keep and perishing in the smoke and flames."

This is a lead up to a history of the early Twentieth Century British Brothers' League. This movement was triggered by a mass influx into Britain of ghetto Jews fleeing the very Czarist persecution the overthrow of which (by mainly Jewish Bolsheviks) most Jews worldwide of all persuasions initially welcomed in 1917. However the BBL, which was supported by Conservative MPs, some Liberals and indeed some longer-established middle-class British Jews amongst its supporters, was, it seems to me, in origin more of a nativist anti-Immigration movement than an anti-Jewish organisation *per se*.

There then follow an interminable set of chapters tracing the progress, or in fact complete lack thereof, of the Britons' Publishing Society until it sank into cranky ultramontane Catholicism in the 1970s, complete with depressingly predictable splits and Court cases diverting the funds contributed by the tiny band of loyal members into the ample wallets of lawyers. Then Toczek gives us an account of the careers of two others whose contribution to the progress of British Nationalism is far from self-evident: Arnold Leese, who thought Mosley – and possibly Mussolini – was a "kosher fascist" in the pay of the Jews, and his tiny Imperial Fascist League, and his disciple Colin Jordan, who banged his head with great courage and persistence against a brick wall of political irrelevance largely of his own making. Leese should have stuck to doctoring camels, at which he was very good – a parasitic worm of camels' eyes, *Thelazia leesei*, bears his name to this day.

Then we come, inevitably, to the fount of all the nonsense spouted by the cranks in this book, *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, which as Toczek rightly points out even Dr Goebbels realised was a forgery. Apart from the few isolated cranks whom Toczek appears to confuse, or purports to confuse, with mainstream racial nationalism, nobody takes the *Protocols* seriously as a genuine document.

But some do still hold that, though themselves false, they reflect an underlying truth about a Jewish Conspiracy to rule the World, or if not a Jewish conspiracy then one run by "Insiders", Bilderbergers or whatever. Conspiracy theories that are by no means limited to Nationalist ranks – the Left has its own phobias of the "Secret State", "Hidden Agendas" etc.

Toczek quotes the wise words of Hilaire Belloc here. Speaking of Nesta Webster, a prime purveyor of such paranoia made politic, Belloc wrote: "She is one of those people who have got one cause on the brain. It is the good old 'Jewish revolutionary'. But there is a type of unstable mind which cannot rest without morbid imaginings, and the conception of a single cause simplifies thought. With this good woman it is the Jews, with some people it is the Jesuits, with others Freemasons and so on. The world is more complex than that." Indeed it is. The fact is that in principle this sort of conspiracy theory is epistemologically flawed: there is no way of falsifying it. The theory can accommodate any fact with an "explanation", and any lack of evidence for, or apparent evidence against, the theory merely "proves how cunning the Conspirators are." One is reminded of a story told, perhaps apocryphally, of the Russian Czar Peter the Great, who, when at war with Sweden, is said to have issued orders that all Swedish spies captured should be shot, unless they could prove their innocence. In that case they were still to be shot, but should be tortured first to reveal the secret of being such a clever spy that you can prove you aren't one…

Since The Conspiracy is all powerful and all pervasive, the logical conclusion is that resistance is futile, especially as of course They will be specifically certain above all to control any group purporting to expose their Plot. This leads to a bizarre political solipsism in which the subject is forced to believe that everyone is potentially one of Them except him or her. This sort of stuff is of more interest to the psychiatrist than the politician, really.

In practice there is plenty of evidence of like-minded powerful people scheming out of sight of electorates to achieve their own aims, hidden agendas, elites and *classes politiques* seeking to pursue their own ends regardless of public opinion, manipulation of news in the media (who decides what is "news", for example?) etc. – the current British EU referendum, and the history of successive British Governments around "Europe", shows all this well (and that such schemes do not always necesarily prevail!) Indeed rational discussion of the extent to which Western Democracy is a sham is not limited to Nationalists – many on the Left would share our scepticism. It is incidentally a great pity that the sundry alternatives to the System – Nationalists, Marxists, Anarchists and so on – waste so much of their time and energy fighting each other to the benefit of the current regime instead of uniting against the common enemy and agreeing to settle their differences after it is defeated.

What there is no evidence for is a Secret Government of Earth. On the contrary, our planet and civilization would be in far better shape if there were. The Jew who famously said that if his people were running the world behind the scenes they'd make a much better job of it and would not have let it get into the present state made a very good point! It is clearly in the interest of the Hidden Elders or whoever to keep the planet they secretly rule habitable, and the

Henry Ford (*centre*) being awarded the Grand Cross of the German Eagle – Germany's highest award for foreigners – in July 1938. By this time Ford had (under commercial pressure) retracted his earlier anti-Jewish writings, but the Ford Motor Company was still doing extensive business with Germany.

civilization they have supposedly taken over working. The complete – and often highly visible in the case of the repeated failed Climate Summits – ineffectuality of concerted action to deal with the impending environmental, ecological, resource, system failure and cultural crises which the System's own experts keep telling their masters threatens a total civilization collapse and massive population dieback within the next 100 years or less, strongly suggests that no-one, hidden or otherwise, is in charge. As does the near-death experience of the international financial system, supposedly the core of the Hidden Hand, in 2008.

The evidence suggests that the world is governed not by a Plot, or plots, but by a Process, the evolution of the Capitalist system that now controls this civilization from National Capitalism, through Imperialism, to Globalism. It is this process that has brought Immigrants into Europe and America as cheap labour, offshored most of the West's productive manufacturing base, and forced Third World countries to act as sources of commodities at the expense of their people. That process also encourages social degeneracy and breakdown in order to sell more people more things, to turn humanity into atomised deculturised *déraciné* homogenised produce-and-consume units. Political Correctness serves to suppress any attempt to slow this process, to preserve ethnic and cultural identity and oppose the free movement of Capital and Labour which oils the wheels of the Global Greed Machine. It is driven not by Protocols but by Profits, by short term return on shareholder value to be precise. Nobody controls it, it is bigger than any Government or ethnic group, it is a vast blind machine, a heuristic algorithm running on a collectivity of the millions of human minds who comprise its cogs. A blind machine which is chugging short-sightedly toward the edge of a cliff in blind pursuit of its inbuilt profit imperative, A machine we should all unite, not just Nationalists but Red and Green and Black, to destroy before it destroys us all. That, not "the Jews" is the enemy that is destroying our Race and our Civilization.

One of the major figures in the evolution and genesis of this multinational global corporate Greed Machine was one Henry Ford, but because he happened to hate Jews Toczek gives him a chapter to himself, ending in his ignominious grovelling climbdown and retraction lest his profits be hit by lawsuits and boycotts. Typical Capitalist.

Perhaps the stupidest thing about the nonsensical Jewish conspiracy theories whose purveyors Toczek drones on about is that they are in one sense self-fulfilling. They actually do create their very own Jewish Conspiracy, of a sort. Jews of all persuasions, including no doubt some who would otherwise be sympathetic to the cause of defending a race and civilization which includes them and to which they have undoubtedly contributed, will understandably unite against people they believe hate them, blame them for everything bad and, they not unreasonably suspect, ultimately want to kill them all. Like the rest of us, they don't like people who don't like them.

So Jews all combine against their would-be persecutors, thereby appearing to validate said persecutors' Jewish conspiracy theories, which have in fact brought into existence the very "Jewish conspiracy" they are purporting to expose. Said Jewish reaction also gives an undeserved boost to the, often most dubious and seedy, "protectors" who step forward claiming to defend the Jewish community.

This is entirely understandable on the Jews' part, and, to the extent that Nationalists have tolerated, although most of us did not embrace or even welcome, the Jew-hating conspiracy nuts, so that Jewish hostility to them includes us, is our own fault. The problem is that, as Hilaire Belloc again observed, the criticism of individual Jews for by way of example, serving the interests of states, or a State, other than the one of which they are citizens, being involved in politically unpleasant movements etc. is one thing, but "the mere attack on a Jew because he is a Jew is quite another matter". Toczek's pet conspiracy nuts, by conflating the two, render any criticism of any Jews liable to be seen as a sub-genocidal attack on all Jews and to unite the Jewish community against any sort of Nationalism.

It is true, as Martin Webster has repeatedly argued, that purging the fringes – and nowadays whatever Mr Toczek thinks they are the fringes – of our Movement of conspiratorial anti-Semitism, and making clear that Jews who share our views are welcome in our ranks, will not make most Jews like us any better. Most Jews in Western societies are undoubtedly made nervous by ethnocentrism, which they fear is, or may become, directed at them, and would feel more comfortable in a multi-ethnic society in which (because everyone is an alien) no-one is an alien. They would prefer to live in a stable, functioning, tolerant multicultural society. Whilst this reviewer would like to be a Hobbit living in the Shire. Both desires are equally unrealistic.

As Jews are discovering, especially in Western Europe, their welcome to the emerging multi-cultural society has not made them safer. Far from it. There are now in Britain, France, Germany and elsewhere tens or hundreds of thousands, maybe millions, who love them every bit as little as Henry Hamilton Beamish and Arnold Leese did, and indeed happily read the *Protocols* in suitable translations, readily

GOD
BLESS
HITLER
FREE MEDI
FRE

Not quite the spirit of multicultural gratitude that the Elders of Zion were looking for!

available in places like Luton and Bradford. Anti-Semitic attacks and desecrations are on the rise across Europe, and Jews are feeling ever more threatened and fleeing to Israel.

These attacks are not being carried out by, and Jews are not running from, Nationalists. They are fearing, and fleeing, people whose religion contains holy writ to gladden the hearts of Mr Toczek's subjects, saying for example: "the Jews are cursed and changed into rats" and "The Day of Judgement will not come about until Muslims fight the Jews, when the Jew will hide behind stones and trees. The stones and trees will say O Muslims, O Abdullah, there is a Jew behind me, come and kill him." People many Jews originally welcomed to our shores, hoping they would bring tolerance and acceptance of difference.

As we warned at the time, that isn't what happened. Mass Immigration, far from making Jews safer, has put them more at risk than they have been for decades. It has imported from outside a Western civilization of which Jews, whether they like it or not, are a part, a vast, implacable alien enemy of Jewish and non-Jewish Westerner alike. An enemy far more numerous, and dangerous, than ever Mr Beamish and his ilk and their tiny sects were.

Those Jews who once favoured this importation are now in a difficult position, to put it mildly. They may never love us. They may always wish their multi-cultural utopia had worked out. But it didn't, and so they may come to see us as the lesser of two evils. If we make it clear that we have a more rational, thought-through world-view that does not see them as the Root of All Evil and does offer them a valued place in our nation and our society, keeping their beliefs and traditions, if they in return give our society and our nation their first loyalty.

Mr Toczek's book finishes with a series of lengthy Appendices of mind-numbing triviation which appear to have been written by an autistic obsessive, a sort of political Rain Man. He lists no less than forty-four mostly minute and short-lived crackpot sects of varying degrees of rabid lunacy, with a catalogue of mostly irrelevant and very small circulation fringe journals (of some of which Mr Toczek is no doubt still missing the odd number to complete his set) and a list of dozens of "key figures". To be a key figure it is apparently enough, as a Mr Varnals did, to have seconded a vote of thanks at a meeting of the Britons on October 3rd 1921. This litany of mostly utterly obscure and long-deceased nonentities is occasionally enlivened by snippets such as the fact that Major B. Wilmot-Allistone claimed to have photographed the ghost of a kitten or that Colonel Victor Barker of the (minuscule and actually simply hardline Tory) National Fascisti was actually Valerie Arkell-Smith, a female cross-dresser. It is amusing, if true, that William Joyce, later Lord Haw-Haw and the only US citizen ever hanged as a traitor to Britain, got his famous facial scar defending a Conservative candidate, Jack Lazarus, who was Jewish, and ironic, again if true, that leading Mosleyite John Beckett had a Jewish mother and was thus halachically Jewish himself (although, as Toczek does not point out, for all his faults Mosley always said his quarrel was with certain anti-British Jews and not with Jews *per se*).

Mr Toczek may imagine his book is a blow struck at the very heart of British Nationalism. In fact it is a very boring description of a tiny number of people who were at most on the fringes of the Movement and many of whom would have been – as their more recent followers often were – thrown out of any mainstream Nationalist meeting the moment they started spouting their nonsense. If this reviewer could have been bothered he could have written a very similar book about exactly the same people, from no more sympathetic a viewpoint. It would have been called *Nutters Who Have Brought the Nationalist Movement into Disrepute*. However unlike Mr Toczek I would not have wasted my time writing it.

Reviewed by Ian Freeman, Northwich, Cheshire

YOUR HERITAGE
Biblical Christianity
Patriotic Political Reality

THE MISSING LINK

to understanding what's really going on in this country and the world is the true identity of the Children of Light and the Children of Darkness. Have you been a Christian-Patriot for many years and found that some things just "don't add up"? The real nature of the forces of good and evil have been hidden from our people through politically correct manipulation from the government, the media, the schools, and the churches by both the so-called "right" and "left".

Learn the missing message that links it all together, clarifies the confusion, and reveals your racial destiny, by ordering a copy of the book *Your Heritage* for only **$2.50.** Included will be a **FREE** copy of our Doctrinal Statement of Beliefs and lists of other books, tapes, and videos opening your eyes to amazing truths that you haven't seen before.

Kingdom Identity Ministries
P.O. Box 1021
Harrison, Arkansas 72602 USA
Visit our website at: **www.kingidentity.com**

VALHALLA BOUND

Odinists take heart! There is a White Nationalist movement specifically for you! Valhalla Bound is a National Socialist and Odinist based movement seeking to unite all worthy like-minded kinsmen into one Front to greatly aid the 14-word goal. Our momentum is growing daily with units forming all over America. Follow your wyrd and contact us now: John Hancock, Box 7666, Spokane, WA 99207 or 14VBS88@gmail.com

NEW ORDER
Building American National Socialism in the 21st Century

Main website - www.theneworder.org
Books & other merchandise - www.nspublications.com

For a free copy of the latest issue of the *NS Bulletin*, write to:

NEW ORDER, Dept. HD
PO Box 270486
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53227, USA

Follow us on Twitter
@NEW_ORDER_1488

National Socialism: That's life itself!

Predictions for the coming year

2016 has been a pretty momentous year already. We saw Donald Trump win the Republican Party nomination in America, catapulting Identitarian politics and rational European-American self-interest into the mainstream. We saw the 'Alt-Right' become the most talked about phenomenon in politics, with Hillary Clinton giving one of the year's most famous speeches about it. We saw the rise of Preservationist Resistance groups in Europe, such as the Soldiers of Odin and *Generation Identitaire*. We saw the Brexit Vote inspire millions in the UK, in what was at the least a hugely symbolic victory. Yet while our side has seen these successes and causes for hope this year, I think that events are suggesting a good 2017 as well.

FREE
SOUP COFFEE & DOUGHNUTS
FOR THE UNEMPLOYED
HORAN
BAILIFF
PARKING
FREE SOUP

Many US analysts are predicting another economic recession next year. The decline of American industry has already produced political effects more radical than the 1930s when (as seen *above*) soup kitchens were a regular feature of US cities.

Just today I would say there are three such stories:

1) Trump's debate comments.

At the third and final Presidential Debate, Fox News moderator Chris Wallace asked Donald Trump whether – if he loses the election – he would accept the results. Trump – in true Trump fashion – said he would take a look at it when it happens, and refused to commit to accepting the results.

While this statement probably did not surprise many, it still resulted in the mainstream *Lügenpresse* going into convulsions over Trump's 'attack on the sanctity of American democracy'. Such a response is classic, given that it comes on the same day videos were released showing high level Clinton Democrats openly acknowledging widespread voter fraud. Such is the media veneer that casts a Potemkin shadow over modern day America though.

Mike Cernovich over at *Danger and Play* has a good post explaining the strategy in Trump's comment (it will get people talking about Democrat/Media corruption, which will in turn spread awareness of that issue, which will in turn cause people not to vote for Hillary), but beyond just that, it interests me as a harbinger of what is hopefully to come.

For really, could one imagine any past presidential candidate saying such a thing? It would be unheard of. Yet less than speaking to the particulars of Trump as a candidate (his bombasticity, etc), I think it speaks to just how out of hand our corrupt media has gotten, and just how due for come-uppance they are. That leads us into the next relevant story:

2) In Germany, where their own corrupt Lügenpresse has (badly) covered up the murder of a teenage German boy by an Arab migrant.

As bad as the American media is, the European media is worse. Just today there is an article by Fria Tider highlighting yet another example of this fact, explaining German state television's cover-up of the murder of a German teenager by an Arab migrant, which apparently has angered native Germans across the country.

While we have read countless such stories before, it does seem as though anger in Germany at the media has reached a fever pitch, and if additional catalysts are brought to bear it could finally reach the boiling point. Which brings us to the third story:

3) Analysts Predict Coming Recession In Europe And The U.S.

We have talked about the prospect of this a little, especially in Sweden considering their insane housing bubble and suicide by migration social policies. Yet now there are actual well-known analysts predicting the same thing, and not just in places like Sweden and Italy but in the United States.

One example is in the *Telegraph*. Titled "Fed risks repeating Lehman blunder as US recession storm gathers", it states:

> *The risk of a US recession next year is rising fast. The Federal Reserve has no margin for error.*
>
> *Liquidity is suddenly drying up. Early warning indicators from US 'flow of funds' data point to an incipient squeeze, the long-feared capitulation after five successive quarters of declining corporate profits. "We are seeing a serious deterioration on a monthly basis," said Michael Howell from Cross Border Capital, specialists in global liquidity. The signals lead the economic cycle by six to nine months. "We think the US is heading for recession by the spring of 2017. It is absolutely bonkers for the Fed to even think about raising rates right now," he said.*

I have seen similar predictions from a number of market watchers and economists in the United States, some predicting a recession to start within the next three months. This potential recession, and the increasingly vicious relationship between our people and the establishment/banking/media elite suggests that even more interesting times are on their way.

While it is only October, it feels like one period of time is coming to a close and another is beginning. This could be a result of the election coming up, or on a personal level it might be because my son is about to turn 4 and that has created a natural 'page break' in my mind. Nevertheless, I wanted to take the opportunity to offer some humble predictions for the next 12 months / 2017.

2017 Predictions

1. There will be a recession in America and Western Europe.

Obviously not a super gutsy prediction at this point since I just referenced the fact that many analysts are predicting it themselves, but nevertheless, it is something I have thought would be coming for awhile (mostly from reading Robert Kiyosaki). I actually think it will be worse in Europe though. If I understand correctly, it seems that in the eight years since the 'Great Recession' and EU crisis, the Europeans have basically used massive amounts of debt to ward off the EU's eventual, inevitable downfall. On top of this – as we well know – they have spent vast sums on importing millions of violent Muslim immigrants. They also have a massively-aged society full of demographic 'liabilities' (as I wrote in my book). Therefore with signs that the global economy will soon be cycling back down, it seems that fate will be knocking on the door sooner rather than later. This in turn will lead to some of the predictions below.

2. We will see violence against the media in Western Countries.

This could be any number of things but I think we are bound to see it. Already in 2015 we saw Germans riot around and spit at members of the traitorous political establishment. The media deserve such enmity just as much as the political class and I think that whether in the US or Western Europe or both, we will see violence directed against members of their ranks.

3. The four upcoming elections in Europe (Austrian Presidential, German, French, and Dutch) will have disappointing results.

I wish this were not the case, but as we all know, democracy and mass-immigration are mutually exclusive. Certainly we saw surprises in 2016 with the Brexit Vote and the first round of the Austrian Presidential Election (the one the establishment tried unsuccessfully to steal). However, I think I speak for most of the people who read this website when I say that our people should no longer put real hope in elections. As Nick Griffin very accurately summed up the situation on Red Ice Radio, “Britain is now past the voting stage.”

GENERATION ID

Members of the Identitarian group GI hold a mass rally in central Paris, May 2016

I do think it’s very possible however that at least one of these elections goes our way. Certainly I think even many of our enemies were taking for granted a Hofer win in Austria. [*Editor's note: Norbert Hofer of the FPÖ was narrowly defeated in the Austrian presidential repoll.*] In France it seems as though a conservative may successfully pivot right enough to once again become President over a Le Pen though. In the Netherlands Geert Wilders will finally get his chance to take power, but the Netherlands has so many immigrants it may not matter how well he does with actual (real) Dutch voters. And in Germany, well, what can you say.

However, on the whole I am quite positive, and I predict that…

4. Identitarianism will only grow in scope.

In the US I believe we will be able to see this very directly tied to the results of the election (whether Hillary or Trump wins). If Trump wins the question of Identitarianism will quite naturally flow forth from his administration. Not because it would be explicitly Identitarian, but because the media will relentlessly attack Trump – and all White people- as ‘racist’ even more than they do now. Questions such as the border wall and Muslim immigration will be front and center of the discourse. Defending Trump on these policies will only serve to make his voters and supporters that much more consciously Identitarian.

Conversely, if Hillary wins, then Identitarianism will become even more firmly positioned as the counter-culture. Hillary will continue to sell out the country to multinational corporations, global elites, and anti-White progressives. Left-wing comedians and icons such as John Stewart, Stephen Colbert, and Michael Moore will lose the little relevance they have left and become even more un-cool. Our own people will continue to search for answers, and will turn to folks like us who have already *figured things out*, becoming awakened and awakening others in turn.

The flipside of such a phenomenon would be what occurs if Trump wins, as we all know that would unfortunately cause the European left to double down on virtue signaling and act even more suicidal. At the same time a Trump presidency would have other, very positive effects on Europe however, as I have written about previously.

This brings us back to Europe, the question of its future, and prediction five.

5. ISIS and Islamic Radicalism will turn their attention on Europe.

With Iraq’s Shia government finally getting their act together and looking to take back Mosul, ISIS will revert back into being a mostly Syrian entity. I am not sure if I agree with those that think ISIS will slink back into nothingness and disappear, yet it seems obvious that they have lost the amazing momentum they had just one or two years ago. Their recruiting base seems to be waning, and no matter how many people they dump into vats of acid they still can’t compete with Donald Trump for media airtime. Revolutionary groups live off energy and excitement, and as a result I think ISIS will pivot their attention and their infrastructure into Europe. Indeed, if I was an Islamic radical, I think the next most exciting thing to creating a new Caliphate would be retaking the lands of the ‘Crusaders’, and that buzz of energy will fuel their push into the European theater (and meta-theater on a media/cultural level).

When I say ‘ISIS’ I mean Islamic jihadists and jihadism in general, and it is easy to see why a European focus is so attractive for them. They have millions upon millions of young, angry, disaffected Muslims who are fed, clothed, housed, and given large amounts of money through European welfare programs. Such individuals are much easier to motivate into radicalism than some starving goat farmer in the Syrian Desert. Furthermore Europe is a logistical goldmine. Indeed – as the whole world saw with horror this week – the Swedish government is now giving returning ISIS fighters free housing, tax breaks, and debt forgiveness. At the other end of the continent, Islamic radicals and their monied backers are increasingly buying up large holdings in Albania and Bosnia.

With all this being the case, I will make my last prediction:

6. 4th Generation Warfare in Europe will get closer, but will still not break out.

Obviously I wish this weren’t the case. While certain writers have accused our community of wishing for violence, that is not an accurate charge. We merely understand that massive violence and 4th Generation Warfare in Western Europe is now inevitable. With that being the case, it is better for it to happen now than later, when the male 18-30 year old demographic is 40% Muslim instead of 80%. This seems pretty logical to me.

I do not think it will begin in 2017 though. As awful as things are, they are still ‘normal’ enough that people’s primary focuses are on their jobs and careers and investments and romantic prospects. Most of the Europeans who I converse with online say that (regular) people are scared, and they know things are getting worse, but that only perhaps 10-15% have squared their minds to accept the inevitability of violence.

Yet while this is the case, I do think we will increasingly see manifestations of this impulse, which will one day be considered precursors to the ethnic violence that is to come. These include:

– A greater and greater exodus to the Visegrad Nations. Especially as the economic recession begins, wealthy middle-class Brits and Swedes and Germans will relocate to Hungary and the Czech Republic. The cost of living will be lower, and most importantly they will not have to fear for being murdered or raped every time they have to go to school, catch a train, walk several blocks, or otherwise encounter ‘diversity’. Hopefully the Visegrad Nations will be smart enough not to allow lots of Progressive-leftists in, and this flow of ‘refugees’ will only strengthen the Identitarian character of their nations. I use the term ‘refugees’ half-jokingly, but it really isn’t a joke, I truly think they will one day be considered that, as this flow will only hasten over the next twenty years.

– We will see more ‘Breiviks’. Already in 2016 we saw a ‘French Breivik’ get arrested. Just yesterday, a native German man and member of the 'Reich Citizens Movement' opened fire on four German policemen when they raided his apartment. (Thanks to VivatEuropa for that link!) With the Western European governments doubling down, criminalizing dissent, and enabling immigrant violence, I think we will only see more such occurrences.

– Europe will see continued growth of gun clubs, vigilante groups, and other such 'pre-conflict' behavior. As I have written about before, when we analyze other 4GW conflicts over the last 50 years, they were usually preceded by the multi-ethnic population separating into distinct and homogeneous groups and organizations, often with implicitly or explicitly paramilitary natures. We are seeing that today in Europe with the rapid rise in gun club membership, as well as the creation of groups such as the Soldiers of Odin, the Nordic Resistance Movement, and *Generation Identitaire*. In those other 4GW theaters there also started to be 'massacres' by either side, and I think one specific prediction I would make is that both native European vigilantes and Islamists will commit large scale killings in 2017, similar to what we normally hear called 'terrorism'. This won't lead to 'war' (at least in the short term), but, mixed with the moderate to severe economic downturn, should lead to a noticeably more 'fluid' situation 12 months from now.

Conclusion

Obviously it is hard to know what the next year will bring. I would highly welcome the thoughts of any of our esteemed readers on the subject. I do think there are good things happening. There are countless people in Europe making sacrifices for the future of the continent. As an American I look up to them and pray that I can someday have such an impact, and I hope that Europe (and the broader Occident) sees the rise of many more such patriots over the next 12 months. Just the little bit of exposure I get through this website to people focused on our people's future is humbling and inspiring beyond measure, and as dark as things look, our people have historically been capable of triumphs so vast that only the foolish would give up hope. Indeed, 2017 could exceed all our expectations just as fully as 2016 has.

Julian Langness – www.europeancivilwar.com

Editor's note: This article is an overview of "the current year so far". Based on the analysis of a tremendous year thus far for the nationalist struggle, the article continues with speculation on what 2017 may portend. Written by Julian Langness it was first published on 22nd October 2016. Julian has previously spoken to Red Ice Radio on the issues outlined in the article and is the editor of the website – Europeancivilwar.com – which is dedicated to tracking the evolving situation in Europe. To quote Julian: "Tracking the burgeoning civil war in Europe, and the culture, heritage and traditions we are fighting for."

He continues: "While we do not hope for a civil war in Europe in a vacuum, knowing how terrible and horrific war is, we have accepted that without vast and immediate change, Western Europe will be overrun, Islamized, and enslaved. This is not hyperbole but is borne out by demographics. Already in some Western European countries the male 18-29 year old demographic is near 50% Muslim. As a result, we feel that – as horrible as the prospect is – a descent into destabilization and 4th Generation Warfare in the short term is Western Europe's only chance at righting itself before darkness descends.

"As Europeans living on the continent and in the worldwide European Diaspora, we would be remiss individually and collectively to not do everything humanly possible to prevent Western Europe's death, as engineered through its suicidal, Cultural-Marxist elites, and effected through mass-Muslim immigration. This site is one small and humble attempt at 1) documenting the situation, 2) analyzing it, and 3) fighting back."

If you would like to contact Julian Langness, please email him at - ***valhalla1@europeancivilwar.com*** *or use the contact form on the website.*

Obituaries

Chris ('Weasel') Ledger and Keith ('Beefy') Taylor

I am deeply saddened at the sudden death of Chris Ledger known to all as 'Weasel'. Chris was born on the 16th April 1953 and passed away on the 6th December 2016. He was 63 years old. Chris joined Leeds National Front in 1971 when he was just 18 and was far and away the very best activist we ever had in West Yorkshire. Chris had a deep understanding of the Cause. His whole life was dedicated to White Nationalism despite causing many personal problems. When we battled the Reds – the SWP and the ANL – for control of the streets of Leeds, Weasel was always there – loyal and steadfast as ever. He died still a true believer. For me I have not only lost a Comrade but also my best friend. Odin Speed Chris...

Eddy Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire

Eddy Morrison (*centre foreground* next to police horse) in front of Chris ('Weasel') Ledger, with NF members coming out of a public election meeting in Leeds, 1978. This photo was taken just before the Reds charged through a police cordon, resulting in a major battle.

Sad news that an old comrade and NS activist Beefy Taylor from Leeds has died of cancer. He'd been very ill for a while and this news has brought tears to my eyes as did seeing him when he was ill. I will not remember Beefy's last few months, I will always remember him as a brave street fighter for the National Front, one who terrified the reds and flew our flag wherever he wanted to. Rest in peace comrade Beefy, see you in Valhalla!

Kevin Watmough, Leeds, Yorkshire

PATRIOTS
NATIONALIST ALLIANCE
For Race and Nation
Tel. 07951083919

Not being a big fan of Nick Griffin and his modernising, Beefy Taylor had kept out of the post-John Tyndall BNP for the most part. Beefy still supported JT and was there outside the Court (*left*) for the famous Race Hate trial in Leeds, shortly before JT died. *H&D*'s editor remembers being in the pub afterwards with Beefy, JT, Richard Edmonds all having a drink and going over the day's events. A month or two later he was back for a second rally on the day after JT's death. Beefy will be sorely missed by all his comrades in Yorkshire and beyond.

CD Review – *Black Crows* – by McIvor/Morrison

New Dawn Studios (2016); *Black Crows* can be purchased online using PayPal at **www.valkyriefilms.net** £3.99 post free (UK only).

This is a fourteen track collection from established White Nationalist studio – New Dawn.

The album is a new venture between this reviewer and Neil McIvor. Lyrics by your honourable reviewer and music and sound mixing by Neil.

Of course I am going to be a touch prejudiced in this review but bear with me – it's worth it.

Just to establish my credentials – I have been writing White Nationalist poetry since the 1980s with three anthologies published by a local press in Yorkshire. My first twelve poems were published by Harrogate Free Press under the title *Epitaph to a squashed cat and other poems*. Despite its weird title which was purposeful, it sold out. In the '90s I published a new and more political set of poems entitled *This is our time*.

The tracks on *Black Crows* are intro-read firstly and then the music version comes crashing in with some really good hard rock. I think I would have to describe it as Post-Punk although Rock fits the bill too.

Sound mixing and background audio is excellent. In the song *Hung on the Wire*, which is about the first bloody day of the Battle of the Somme in 1916, the music is interpersed with the sounds of the artillery and the shelling which gives the track a very poignant feeling when we consider this terrible Brothers' War and all the young White men needlessly sacrificed in it – to seemingly no purpose. The title *Black Crows* is based on one of the poems (now an audio track) which is about the vindictive judges who sat in Nuremberg in 1945 and sent twelve good men to their deaths. The album is as a whole a memorial tribute to their unjust deaths.



These are the lyrics from the concluding song *Weltgeist Heute* just to give you a feel for the music:

Weltgeist Heute

I am the centre of Eternal Chaos
I am the Thing that has come
I am the crusher of Eternal Salvation
I am The One

I am The Beast that rules your nations
I am the Spirit of Today
I am the Darkness that stifles your Hope
I am The Way

I was spawned long ago by the Ageless Elders
I am the Face of your Doom
I am the Fey that enslaves your People
I am The One

I am the Spirit of World Disorder
I am the polluter of your Blood
I am the Shedder of your young men's calling
I am the Flood

I am your Future
I am here this Day of Days
Can you to stand and fight me?
Can you fight My Sway?
Can you bring back the Light of the Day?

Winterfest
Scotland

Neil McIvor at the 2015 B&H Scotland Winterfest, in Edinburgh

All in all this album has some seminal songs especially *Senlac Hill* which has been widely published on many Nationalist Sites. New Dawn Studios have done it justice.

Essentially then *Black Crows* loosely traces a history of Britain from 1066 through to the present day using strong themes and some dark lyrics.

The song *The Stars are on fire* would go well with *Mad Max* 's bleak dystopian world.

Reviewed by Eddy Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire

Editor's Note: Four new titles have been recently added to the Valkyrie Film library;
Brownshirt – a history of the SA
Hans Westmar – English Subtitles
Hitler Junge Quex – English Subtitles
SA-Mann Brand – English Subtitles
They are in the Third Reich page of the site.
Also recently added "Game of Thrones- The Inside Story"
All films are £3.99 including postage (UK only) except for the double disc "Olympic 1936" which is £4.99

www.valkyriefilms.net

Hendrik Frensch Verwoerd: South Africa's Greatest Prime Minister, Part II

Editor's note: Part I of this article appeared in H&D *Issue 75*

In his maiden speech[48] as a senator on 3 September 1948, Dr. Verwoerd laid down the broad parameters of the policy of Separate Development. He described it as a middle path between total integration[49] and total segregation, in the realisation that territorial segregation was not possible.[50] Whites and blacks would only be able to own land in their respective territories. In the cities there would be separate residential areas for each racial group and each group would be allowed to have local government structures. In the factories white and non-white would work apart where possible and certain occupations would be reserved for whites. Replacing poverty in the homelands with misery in the cities was not a solution; therefore influx control would be strengthened and as the economies of the native reserves developed, the flow of migrants would slowly reverse itself. Dr. Verwoerd pointed out that migrant labour in the urban and mining areas was similar to the 3,000,000 seasonal labourers from Italy who worked in France, but did not enjoy any political rights. Another analogy he employed was by turning the Eurasian landmass 90 degrees to the left and then comparing the whites in the southern most portion of Africa to the Europeans who inhabited the southern portion of this landmass.[51]

The three National Party leaders who served as South African Prime Ministers after 1948, building the policy known as *apartheid*. (*left to right*) Dr H.F. Verwoerd (1958-66); J.G. Strijdom (1954-58); and Dr D.F. Malan (1948-54).

He often referred to the example of Basutoland (Lesotho) whose population had remained static since 1910, because its inhabitants were constantly migrating to South Africa in search of work. Basutoland's function as a provider of migratory labour was little different from how South Africa's Bantustans also provided labour.[52]

Dr. Verwoerd's first legislative acts were concessions which were designed to ameliorate the position of the Bantu. The Native Abolition of Passes and Co-ordination of Documents Act of 1952 abolished all regional passes and introduced a standard identity document, while the Native Laws Amendment Act of the same year allowed a Black person, who had been in the service of one employer for ten years or had had lawful employment in the area for 15 years to remain in an urban area for 72 hours without a permit.

In 1950 F.R. Tomlinson, professor of agricultural economics at the University of Stellenbosch, was appointed to make a thorough survey of the socio-economic development of the native reserves. Although the work of the Commission for the Socio-Economic Development of the Bantu Areas within the Union of South Africa was completed in 1954, it was only on 14 May 1956 that Dr. Verwoerd discussed the report in parliament.[53]

The Commission proposed the establishment of a number of Bantu homelands. In order to absorb the population increase in the reserves, it was recommended that improved agricultural practices be adopted and that the population be spread more evenly over the designated territories. It also recommended that the homelands should be industrialised with white capital, as part of an overall national policy of decentralisation.[54] This was one of the two points on which Dr. Verwoerd differed; the other being the replacement of communal tenure of agricultural land with individual tenure, which would undermine the authority of the chiefs. He said that it was not only immoral to employ white capital, but that it would necessitate providing security of tenure to the white owners and result in whites having to settle in the proximity of the industrial areas in the homelands.[55]

The matter was resolved by setting up industries[56] which were located up to 30 miles (50km) distant from the borders of the homelands. These border industries were financed with white capital and were granted financial incentives such as subsidised railway rates and tax concessions. These industries were generally labour intensive. Bantu workers were able to commute daily to their place of work and live with their families which was an important factor in promoting social stability. They were also able to spend a portion of their income in the homelands.[57]

In the 1951 census the breakdown of the different racial groups was given as follows:

	Million	%
Bantu	8.5	67.5
European	2.6	20.6
Coloured	1.2	9.5
Indian	0.3	2.4
Total	12.6	100.0

During World War II when many new industries, particularly on the Witwatersrand, were established, the United Party government had allowed hundreds of thousands of blacks to stream into the urban areas without providing them with proper accommodation and amenities. Dr. Verwoerd's Department of Native Affairs embarked on a massive programme of slum clearance, replacing these hideous eyesores with neatly laid out townships with houses built on a plot and service scheme basis. Each plot had a garden and each township had a dedicated railway connection.[58] The townships were divided into ethnic areas so as to prevent friction and to facilitate the provision of mother tongue education. Liaison officers were appointed to maintain links with the respective homelands.

The financing of these townships was undertaken by government and was supplemented by levies[59] (2s. 6d. per week) paid by employers on behalf of their black employees in terms of the Bantu Services Levy Act of 1952. As the whites paid 90% of the taxes at that time, they were the ultimate source of these funds. This was adumbrated by General Hertzog during the debate on the Native Trust and Land Act of 1936, when he said that if the whites desire segregation, they will have to pay for it.[60]

Dr. Verwoerd frequently stressed that the non-white population is an important and valuable economic factor and that their place in the country's economy and the acquisition of the greatest possible welfare had to be recognised. In their own interest and in order to maintain the most harmonious co-operation with the whites, it would have to take place with recognition of the vital social boundaries.

Regarding the native reserves, Dr. Verwoerd said that additional land would only be granted under the Act of 1936 after a judicious and thorough investigation.[61] More land was not the solution as it would be overgrazed.[62] What was needed was a change in methods of agriculture. A vigorous campaign against overgrazing and soil erosion would be undertaken. The reserves would be provided with schools and improved social, health and welfare services. The blacks would provide their own police, post office and railway officials, school teachers and social workers.[63] The Bantu Authorities Act of 1951 created the legal basis for self-determination of the various ethnic and linguistic tribes and traditional homeland reserves and established tribal, regional and territorial authorities. Seven radio stations were established for the different African languages. This ability to govern themselves would engender pride in their achievements and their homeland.

Dr. Verwoerd believed that the homelands could support a much higher population. In a speech in parliament on 14 May 1956 he said that Pondoland in the Eastern Cape, which has an area of 120,421 square miles (311,888 square kilometres) could sustain a population of 19 million, if it were governed by Europeans, such as Belgians, Danes or Italians.[64] In order to stimulate industrialisation, the Bantu Investment Corporation was established on 3 June 1959 with a capital of R2 million. It financed commercial and industrial enterprises in the homelands and by 1963 had granted 339 loans with a value of R1.07 million.

In 1950 the Group Areas Act was promulgated and was followed by the Separate Amenities Act in 1953. The Group Areas Act was a source of contention, particularly amongst the Coloured and Indian communities. The removal of the inhabitants of District Six on the outskirts of the centre of the city of Cape Town from 1966 onwards caused much protest, but it was justified, as the area had become an overcrowded, insanitary and unsafe slum, which had been condemned by health authorities for decades.

The Group Areas Act was not enforced dogmatically. A mile to the west of District Six the Malay Quarter of Bo-Kaap/Schotsche Kloof, nestled below Signal Hill, was left undisturbed. It has been occupied by Cape Malays since 1790 when the Dutch East India Company granted them permission to reside there. The Act also stipulated they no one could be removed until alternative accommodation had been provided and compensation had to be paid for all properties acquired. An amount of R30 million was spent in respect of the relocation of the 60,000 Coloureds of District Six, who were principally of Malay ethnicity and Moslem by religion.

In a speech given[65] on 12 December 1961 to the Union Council of Coloured Affairs, which was in effect the Coloured parliament, Dr. Verwoerd explained his four stream policy which was applicable to each race, as well as the finer points of the Group Areas Act. He provided Europe as an example, where there are only small racial differences and even though they have the same morphology, origins, knowledge and customs, they prefer to live apart[66] and retain their own heritage. He said that borders bring fewer conflicts and that human dignity is promoted by co-existence and not by living together.[67]

The young Hendrik Verwoerd as a Professor at the University of Stellenbosch during the 1930s.

Dr. Verwoerd undertook not only to promote the economic welfare of the Coloured people, but he promised to protect them. He warned them that they would be the first to be adversely affected in a multiracial government.[68] His speech was received with much enthusiasm by the Coloured leaders and in his word of thanks, the Chairman expressed high praise for what Dr. Verwoerd was doing and planning to do for the Coloured people. Dr. Verwoerd received a standing ovation.[69]

By means of the Coloured Persons Representative Council Act of 1964, provision was made for the election of 40 members and 20 nominated members. Five members served on the executive.

Repatriation of the Indian community had been a policy objective of the National Party since 1948. In 1961 Dr. Verwoerd announced that this policy had been abandoned and that Indians were deemed to be a permanent part of South Africa's population. In 1966 a National Indian Council was established as a non-statutory body with 20 appointed members. In 1968 it was given statutory standing and was renamed the South African Indian Council and consisted of 25 members.

In 1950 when Dr. Verwoerd assumed the Native Affairs portfolio, he also asked that Bantu education be transferred from the department of education to his department, as it complemented his portfolio. He was fully aware of the racial-biological differences between the races[70] and that one cannot change the inherent nature of Blacks and their inability to plan for the long term, which is reflected in their languages having only a present tense, no past or future tenses and a very limited vocabulary. Separate systems of education were thus indispensable for the advancement of each racial group, and in particular for the Bantu people. These differences may not only be observed in skin colour and morphology, but primarily in their biological make-up. Thus it has been proven scientifically that the average weight of a White brain is 1,413 gm,[71] while that of a Black is 1,249 gm.[72] The average cubic capacity of a White brain is 1,481 cu. cm. and that of a Black is 1,316 cu.cm. The cerebral cortex is thicker, the gyri more complicated and the sulci are deeper in the case of white brains.[73] The cortex governs the most advanced types of mental activity such as mathematical ability and other forms of abstract reasoning. The frontal lobe[74] of the White forebrain is more developed than that of a Black person. Thus the latter's ability in the performance of thinking, planning, communication and behaviour is more limited than that of Whites. Even more important than brain size are differences in brain shape, fissuration, the number of pyramidal neurons and super-granular layer thickness. It has been proven that the depth of fissuration is related to superior intelligence and that the brains of Whites have deeper fissures in the frontal and occipital regions. The discovery in April 2012 by an international team of scientists of the gene HMGA2, which determines brain size and intelligence, has confirmed the supremacy of the racial-determinant view of intelligence and achievement and completely displaces the nurture and culture argument of the followers of the Jewish pseudo-scientist Franz Uri Boas.

These differences in brain structure are reflected in intelligence quotients which are the premier assessment of cognitive ability. Whites have an average of 100 and for Blacks the average is 70.[75] Although there is an overlap between the races, it is only 11% and would need to be 50% for there to be equality. For both the maintenance and advancement of any civilisation, IQs of 160+ are absolutely vital if there is to be a sufficient number of engineers, inventors, mathematicians, scientists etc., and these high IQs are only to be found in the White race. Heritability is the dominant factor, with environment playing only a minor role.[76] Professor Arthur Robert Jensen of the Psychology Department of the University of Berkeley California showed in his monumental work *Bias in Mental Testing* (786 pages) that the questions in IQ tests provide equally reliable readings of Blacks' and Whites' abilities. Poverty is not an adequate explanation for the Black-White IQ gap, because it is as wide as it is among the poor.

Prior to 1954, when the Bantu Education Act was promulgated, black education was mostly in the hands of the churches and missionary societies. Although these bodies received a 100% state subsidy, the progress they had evinced in the education of black children had been poor. The primary focus of these schools had been to turn as many blacks as possible into educated Europeans, who would have aspirations in a society where they had limited chances of success.[77] At the graduation ceremony of Fort Hare College in the Eastern Cape in May 1938, General Smuts expressed similar sentiments when he said: "The accepted policy of the country is not to impose European conditions and ways of thought and culture on Native peoples. There is something in the Native peoples worth developing. Native Africa has its contribution to bring to the world and we should be doing a disservice forcing on them the European's own exotic views and culture".[78] Dr. Verwoerd wished to expand the education system so that everyone could benefit and not select individuals. The previous education system kept the


XPC_115_9
NOW IS THE TIME FOR OUR REPUBLIC!!
LET US BECOME A REAL REPUBLIC NOW
VOTE YES!
WE CANNOT WIN WITHOUT YOUR VOTE
TO WIN—WE NEED EVERY VOTE
VOTE EARLY ON OCT. 5TH.
VOTE NO ON OCT. 5TH.
IS THIS THE TIME TO ISOLATE OURSELVES IN A FRIENDLESS WORLD?
YES! THEY HAVE MADE A MISTAKE
WE CAN WIN THE REFERENDUM AND DEFEAT THIS REPUBLIC
AND WE WILL WIN THE REFERENDUM IF EVERYBODY VOTES
IMPORTANT
YOUR VOTE IS VITAL
NO

In a 1960 referendum Dr Verwoerd won South African voters' backing to become a Republic rather than a British Dominion, though at first hoping to stay in the Commonwealth. As in the Brexit referendum, the vote split 52-48 in favour of change.

majority of black school children in a primitive state[79] with diminished expectations of advancement and did not prepare them for service in their communities effectively.[80] Furthermore this system was hindered by a lack of funds and the absence of a uniform, declared policy.

The Bantu Education Act provided for a centralised and state controlled black educational system in unison with the Bantu people's traditions and customs.[81] For the first time black parents were given a say in their children's education by means of school boards and school committees. Strong emphasis was placed on mother tongue education,[82] and all teacher training colleges were placed under the department's control. Initially, on account of the shortage of schools, double sessions were introduced. Teachers repeated the same lessons in the mornings and afternoons to different sets of pupils. Free text books were provided, but had to be shared by two pupils until sufficient numbers of text books had been printed. In the high schools both the Joint Matriculation Board and Senior Certificate syllabi could be studied by Black pupils. The notion that Bantu education was inferior is thus false.[83]

The following year in 1955 left-wing agitators tried to organise a boycott on the Witwatersrand in which 7,000 school children stayed away. Dr. Verwoerd reacted quickly and told the parents that either the children returned to school immediately or he would close them permanently. Within ten days a delegation of parents came to ask him for forgiveness and said that they would not misbehave again by keeping their children at home, and would moreover take action against people or organisations who incited further action.[84]

By 1961 there were 4,000 parents serving on 496 school boards and more than 34,000 parents were serving on school committees. In 1963 there were 8,463 Bantu schools and 29,702 teachers at these schools. Between 1948 and 1963 expenditure on Bantu education increased by 194% from R8.5 million to R25 million.[85] In 1967 80% of Blacks in the age group seven to 20 were literate and 83% of those in the age group seven to 14 were attending school. As Black children became more literate, there was a tendency for some of them to look down on their illiterate parents. Dr. Verwoerd rectified that problem by introducing evening schools for adults. Bantu education was a huge success and it gave Black people recognition for their educational achievements and genuine hope for the future.[86]

In 1959 the first non-White universities[87] under the new dispensation were established viz. the University of the North and the Medical University of Southern Africa. These institutions were followed by the University of the Western Cape (Coloured) in 1959, the University of Zululand in 1960, the University of Durban-Westville (Indian) in 1972, the University of Bophuthatswana in 1978, the Vista University in 1981 and the University of Venda in 1982. All these universities were equipped to the same high standards as those enjoyed by White universities.

On 24 August 1958 Prime Minister Johannes Gerhardus Strijdom died in Cape Town after a long illness. As he was the leader of the National Party, a ballot was necessary in order to determine his successor. Initially Dr Verwoerd did not make himself available as a candidate, and when he did, he refused to take part in the customary lobbying.[88] The other two candidates were the most senior cabinet minister, Advocate C. R. Swart,[89] Minister of Justice, and Dr. T.E. Dönges, Minister of the Interior. The caucus of the National Party, which consisted of the 176 members of parliament and senators, met on 2 September 1958. In the first round of voting, Dr. Verwoerd received 80 votes, Dr Dönges 52 votes and Advocate Swart 41 votes. In the second round Dr. Verwoerd received 98 votes against the 75 votes received by Dr. Dönges. In his acceptance speech Dr. Verwoerd remarked that he was just the uppermost point of a pyramid and that his ability to make headway was dependent on the strength of the base.[90]

The opposition United Party, which stood for a united South Africa under White rule or *baasskap* (domination), was generally hostile to Dr. Verwoerd's election. This negative attitude contrasted with the congratulations he received from Black leaders and people "from almost every corner of South Africa".[91] At a National Party meeting he said that "The Bantu were realising more and more that the old Voortrekker policy of granting their own areas to the different races was the right and best policy".[92]

The following evening in a speech broadcast to the nation, Dr. Verwoerd announced his great ideal of forging unity between the Afrikaans- and English-speaking peoples. He believed that the best method of attaining such an aim would be to have a republican form of government. Dr. Verwoerd realised that having a monarchical head of state was a divisive factor and that a republic would heal the wounds of the past.[93] Unity amongst the White population was vital if Black/White problems were to be resolved. Dr. Verwoerd pointed out that Great

Dr Verwoerd with his wife Betsie at the Prime Minister's official residence, Groote Schuur in Cape Town, 1959.

Britain did not have a constitution and that the British monarch, as head of state, had reserve powers which were also applicable to South Africa.[94]

On 27 January 1959 Dr Verwoerd announced a policy which would entail the gradual liberation of the Bantu homelands and their eventual attainment of full independence. The legislation was contained in the Promotion of Bantu Self-government Act of 1959. A Commissioner-General would be entrusted with the development of each assigned territory, of which there were potentially eight, into a self-governing state.

On 20 January 1960 Dr. Verwoerd announced in parliament that the government had decided to hold a referendum on whether South Africa should remain a self-governing British dominion, which owed allegiance to the British crown. In order to raise this significant event above party politics,[95] it had been decided that each White voter[96] would be given the opportunity to express him- or herself irrespective of party considerations. Even if only a small majority voted in favour of a republic, it would be acceptable. Dr. Verwoerd cited the narrow winning margin of 13 votes in parliament on 5 September 1939, when it was decided to declare war on Germany in World War II.[97]

He also revealed that the functions of the head of government (prime minister) and head of state would remain separate, so that the sovereignty of the people would be retained.[98] In the new republican form of government there would be give and take – the English-speakers would give up the monarchy, while the Afrikaans-speakers would not be given an executive president, as was the case in the Boer republics.[99] Furthermore the South African flag, coat of arms and national anthem would not be changed and South Africa would remain a member of the British Commonwealth.[100]

Stephen Mitford Goodson, Pringle Bay, South Africa

Editor's note: Part III of this article will appear in H&D *Issue 77*

Notes:

48 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, edited by Prof. A.N. Pelser, Afrikaanse-Pers Boekhandel, Johannesburg, 1966, pp 1-16.

49 In Dr Verwoerd's opinion integration is a form of genocide to both Black and White.

50 It should be noted that Dr Verwoerd was prepared to compromise on this principle and accept a smaller White state with its own army, navy and police force. See J.A. Marais, *Die era van Verwoerd*, Aktuele Publikasies, Pretoria, 1992, p 57 and *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, op. cit., p 265.

51 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, *op. cit.*, p 469.

52 *Ibid.*, p 110.

53 J. Botha, *Verwoerd is Dead*, Books of Africa (Pty.) Ltd, Cape Town, 1967, p 23. It contained 3,755 pages, 598 tables and 66 maps.

54 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, *op. cit.*, pp 95-114.

55 *Ibid.*, xlv-xlvii.

56 *Ibid.*, p 629.

57 *Ibid.*, pp 599-609.

58 *Ibid.*, p 89.

59 Bantu Services Levy Act of 1952.

60 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, op.cit., xxxii.

61 *Ibid.*, p 28. 7,250,000 morgen (4,478,785 acres) was granted in terms of the 1936 Act, but this land soon became overpopulated and was not used productively.

62 90% of prime farming land handed over to Blacks since 1994 has been destroyed.
http://www.voanews.com/content/black-farmers-in-south-africa-still-struggling-with-land-reform/1535152.html
See also P. du Toit, *The Great South African Land Scandal*, Legacy Publications, Centurion, Pretoria, 2004, 200 pp.

63 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, op.cit., p 140.

64 *Ibid.*, p 110.

65 *Ibid.*, 599-609.

66 For example, with exception of Brussels, Belgium has a *taalgrens* or language border which separates the two main population groups, the Flemings and the Walloons.

67 J.A. Marais, *op. cit.*, pp 64-65.

68 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, *op.cit.*, 602-603.

69 *Ibid.*, 599.

70 *Ibid.*, xxxv and 61. In a speech given in the Senate on 7 June 1954, when he launched his Bantu Education policy, Dr. Verwoerd cited figures showing the inability of Black pupils to cope with the European education syllabus. Only 10% of the pupils passed Standard II, 3½% passed Standard VI, half a percent obtained the Junior Certificate and a very small number the Senior Certificate.

71 J. R. Baker, *Race*, Oxford University Press, p 431.

72 C. Putnam, *Race and Reality*, Howard Allen Printing, Cape Canaveral, 1980, p 84. This figure first appeared in an article written by Dr J.H. Sequeira for *The British Medical Journal*, March 1932.

73 C.W.M. Poynter and J.J. Keegan, 'A Study of the American Negro Brain', *Journal of Comparative Neurology*,Vol. 25, 1915, pp 183-212.

74 W.C. Halstead, *Brains and Intelligence: A Qualitative Study of the Frontal Lobes*, University of Chicago, 1947, p 149. Halstead writes that "The frontal lobes are the portion of the brain most essential to biological intelligence. They are the organs of civilization – the basis of man's hope for the future".

75 National IQ Scores compiled by Professors Richard Lynn, Tatu Vanhanen and Jelte Wicherts. http://www.photius.com/rankings/national_iq_scores_country_ranks.html

76 W. Flax, 'Environmentalism – Scientific "Achilles Heel" of Collectivist Totalitarianism', *The Mankind Quarterly*, Edinburgh, Vol. VI, No. 4, Oct.-Dec. 1965 and R. Pearson, *Shockley on Eugenics and Race*, Scott-Townsend Publishers, Washington, D.C., 1992, pp 190-191 for an analysis of Professor Sir Cyril Burt's data on monozygotic white twins brought up in vastly different economic environments, but having identical IQs.

77 F. Barnard, *13 Jaar In Die Skadu Van Dr H.F. Verwoerd*, Voortrekkerpers, Johannesburg, 1967, p 47.

78 P.B. Blanckenberg, *The Thoughts of General Smuts*, Juta & Co. Limited, Cape Town, 1951, p 152.

79 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, op. cit., 82.

80 *Ibid.*, xliii.

81 J.D.J. Hofmeyr and H. Hitzeroth, 'Differences in Facial Conformation of Four Sub-Groups of the Bantu of South Africa', *The Mankind Quarterly*, Edinburgh, Vol. II, No. 1, July-September 1961. In this study the authors highlight "the strong tendency to form tribes in the Bantu".

82 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, *op. cit.*, 70.

83 *Verwoerd só onthou ons hom*, compiled by Wilhelm J. Verwoerd, Protea Boekhuis, Pretoria, 2001, p 175. The writer of this essay 'Iets oor Bantu-Onderwys' (Something about Bantu-Education), Mr. G.J. Rousseau, was a fluent speaker of the North Sotho and Tswana languages and served as director-general of Bantu Education (1975-1982).

84 J. Botha, *op. cit.*, 23.

85 *Ibid.*, 139. This was a real increase as inflation during the period 1958-1963 averaged 1.78%. http://www.inflation.eu/inflation-rates/south-africa/historic-inflation/cpi-inflation-south-africa-1960.aspx

86 See R. Dageda, 'Bantu education was better: academic', *The Sowetan*, 15 August 2013. Dr Rabelani Dageda, a lecturer at the Wits Business School, writes that: "It was far better in terms of quality than the education our kids are receiving nowadays".

87 The first Black university was established at Fort Hare, Alice, Eastern Cape in 1916.

88 G. Allighan, *Verwoerd – The End*, Purnell & Sons (S.A.) (Pty.) Ltd, Johannesburg, 1961, xxiii. Nonetheless Dr Albert Hertzog did play an active role in gathering support for Dr Verwoerd.

89 In 1965 the author met State President C.R. Swart at his residence Westbrooke, after having received an introduction from his private secretary, Mr Andries L. Pretorius.

90 F. Barnard, *op. cit.*, p 62.

91 J. Botha, op. cit., 40.

92 Ibid., 40.

93 *Verwoerd aan die Woord*, *op. cit.*, 358.

94 Ibid., 358.

95 Ibid., 306.

96 Ibid., 307.

97 Ibid., 445.

98 Ibid., 310.

99 Ibid., 378.

100 Ibid., 409.

Trump victory provokes Anglo-Jewish hysteria

The jury is still out on whether Donald Trump represents a genuine threat to the usual suspects and their world order. While the President-elect has sought to reassure establishment opinion – for example by denouncing the so-called 'alt-right' in a wide-ranging *New York Times* interview on November 23rd, the liberal-left's howls of outrage have continued unabated, as though Benito Mussolini were being installed in the White House.

As a snapshot of media reaction, it is interesting to examine five of the most influential Anglo-Jewish columnists, writing days after Trump's victory. Four were negative (almost hysterically so), while one was more sympathetic.

David Aaronovitch was born into a family of prominent Communist Party members in London in 1954, but by the 1990s was one of Tony Blair's leading Fleet Street cheerleaders. In his *Times* column on November 10th, Aaronovitch worried that the liberal establishment in the U.S. mainstream media would prove too feeble to resist the new President:

I see a real danger of the Putinisation of American politics. Putin was the man who an admiring Trump once said was a real "leader" as opposed to the weedy Obama. Putin is a president who communes directly with his citizens, shutting out the turbulent priests of effective (or even nascent) opposition.

Aaronovitch suggested that Trump's victory implied a rejection of the entire post-1945 settlement and a revival of the America First policies associated with Roosevelt's rivals in the late '30s:

[Up to 1940-41] a large part of America – much of it remarkably coterminous with the modern Trumplands – had been perfectly prepared to see Hitler extinguish British democracy and nationhood rather than get involved in a far-away conflict. The slogan of such people was, as Trump's has been, America First, and their language was of getting along with other nations who would get along with them. Their political defeat was a precondition to the post-war settlement, from the Charter of Human Rights to the Marshall Plan.

...For the past 50 years, first outside and then inside the EU, Britain's strategic aims have been to be "at the heart of Europe" and to keep the Americans close. It's what we inherited from our parents; it's what we may now, at the end of 2016, be failing to pass on to our children.



Steve Bannon (*above*) – former editor of the controversial Breitbart website – has been appointed White House Chief of Staff, increasing complaints from Anglo-Jewry of 'anti-semitism' in Trump's circle.

Nick Cohen was born near Manchester in 1961, and like Aaronovitch moved from a broadly leftist position to supporting Blair's wars (though Cohen remained leftish in his criticisms of some New Labour economic policies). In his *Observer* column on November 12th, Cohen argued that most of the mainstream media have underrated the scale of Trump's triumph, and have failed to comprehend the dimensions of liberalism's world-historical crisis:

Not only in America, but across the democratic world, liberals and leftists are becoming used to waking up in the early hours and learning that they have lost. Again. They did not expect the Conservatives to win the British general election or the British to vote to leave the EU. They didn't see Trump coming. They won't see Le Pen coming. Poland may be the future.

...To suffer such calamitous defeats and not feel the need to change is to behave as irresponsibly as the US Democratic party. It is a myth that Trump and Brexit won because of overwhelming working-class support. Nevertheless, they could win only because a large chunk of the white working class moved rightwards. Debates about how to lure them back ought to reveal the difference between arguing with and arguing against your fellow citizens, which most middle-class leftists have not even begun to think about.

Jonathan Freedland (born 1967 into a typical North London, left-liberal Jewish family) is associate editor of *The Guardian* and columnist for *The Jewish Chronicle* and has remained closer to (or at least more tolerant of) the Labour left than most Jews in recent years.

In his November 16th *Guardian* column, Freedland suggested that Jews worldwide would be justifiably nervous after Trump's victory:

To the long list of things that Donald Trump's election has upended, we should add one more: the way Jews see the world. For most of the last century, Jews have regarded America as a safe haven. While Europe had inflicted on its Jewish population a history of expulsion, persecution and eventually industrialised slaughter, the United States had given them a place where they could survive, and thrive.

That certainty has vanished in the last week – and the appointment of Steve Bannon as the most senior adviser to the incoming president has deepened the anxiety. For Bannon is the boss of the far-right Breitbart website, which as well as attacking women, Muslims and African-Americans has targeted Jews.

This is unfamiliar and unwelcome territory for American Jews. They are discovering that antisemitism is not a thing of the past, nor confined to distant Europe. It is alive and active in their own, golden land – and now it is endorsed from the very top.

Daniel Finkelstein (born 1962) – an associate editor at *The Times* – is unlike the other three in never having had any connection with the British left: he started out as right-hand man to David Owen in the SDP, then became a prominent Tory adviser and was given a peerage by Cameron in 2013. Finkelstein's grandfather was founder of the Wiener Library, the oldest institution in the world devoted to study of the Holocaust and related matters.

Mr Trump's victory is not one that is won by him alone and he is not entirely in control of the forces he has unleashed. There is no reason to believe him personally to be an antisemite. And one might in a moment of extreme (and probably misplaced) generosity dismiss accusations against his strategist Steve Bannon as hearsay from an ex-wife. But this does not mean that his election is not frightening for all ethnic minorities.

...But could Israel benefit? After all Mr Trump seems to see the Iran nuclear deal in the same way that the Israeli government does.

I think it is naive to be hopeful. ... It seems to me quite likely that he will pursue a dangerous and erratic foreign policy that will leave Israel more, rather than less, exposed.

And he may not particularly care if that happens. Mr Trump's policy is to make America "great again", not the world.

Against this chorus of Anglo-Jewish disapproval, Melanie Phillips was a rare dissenter. Phillips (born 1951) was a *Guardian* feminist/leftist in the 1980s before moving to the right, ending up at the *Daily Mail*, via the *Sunday Times*. She is perhaps the most prominent example of the minority trend in European Jewry which (mainly because of the Muslim issue) has more in common with sections of the 'racist' right than with the pro-multiculti left.

In her *Times* column on November 15th, Ms Phillips insisted that 'Trump's opponents are the bigots and racists'. She pointed to Trump's many Jewish family and business connections, and denounced his liberal-left enemies.

Lefties believe that bad things can only happen on the right, that liberal universalism means progress and all good things, and that any movement against its shibboleths is a denial of the laws of nature. That's why they think Brexit must be a temporary derangement. That's why they deemed it impossible that Hillary Clinton could be more dangerous than Trump.

Terrifyingly, they are impervious to evidence. They will never acknowledge the bullying on campuses or of Christians, the anti-white racism of Black Lives Matter, the hatred expressed by liberals towards those they falsely accuse of hatred.

The left's agenda is not to oppose bigotry or ignorance. It is to gain power and control. For decades, liberals have stamped upon all opposition to the left's revolutionary attempt to remake society in its own image. The Brexit/Trump uprising is the first time they have been defeated.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Match Day – Ulster Loyalism and the British Far-Right by Tony Simms

Match Day - Ulster Loyalism and the British Far-Right by Tony Simms, Published by CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, 2016, ISBN-13: 978-1532718182 (paperback), 462 pages. Available for £14.00 (paperback) or £6.00 (Kindle Unlimited version) from www.amazon.co.uk (online only).

MATCH DAY
ULSTER LOYALISM AND THE BRITISH FAR-RIGHT
By Tony Simms

There have been very few books written by modern British nationalists about their time in nationalism or 'the movement' as some prefer to call it. You can count them on one hand. Joe Owens' *Action! From Race War to Door Wars* (reviewed in *H&D* #30). John Bean's *Many Shades of Black* (reviewed in *H&D* #5). Steve Frost's *Twas a Good Fight! The life of Colin Jordan* (reviewed in *H&D* 66#). And more recently Michael McLaughlin's *The Rise of the Sunwheel* (which we plan to review in *H&D* #77). Whereas there have been literally hundreds of books written by so-called football hooligans, 90% of which say more or less the same thing and are as boring as an Adam Walker speech.

Tony Simms' book *Match Day,* is a bit different and a lot more interesting. It combines his experiences in both football and politics, which up to now has not (as far as I can gather) been written.

Tony joined the NF (in 1992) just about the time that I was leaving it. However, he followed a very similar route to mine fifteen years earlier. We were both born and bred in the West Midlands, Tony in Birmingham and myself in Worcester. Before (and after) I joined the NF in 1977, I was a big football fan, Wolverhampton Wanderers being my team – Tony followed one of our main rivals Aston Villa.

A few years later, I left the NF and joined the BNP, so did Tony. Later still I started to visit Ulster and made a number of contacts with the Ulster Defence Association (UDA) and other Loyalists (although I was never a member) – so did Tony – via the Loyalists Prisoners Association (LPA), which was linked to the UDA.

Norman Tompkinson (*left*) longstanding friend of author Tony Simms, seen here at a NF march with other members of Birmingham branch, in Kidderminster, 1984, which this reviewer also attended.

At one time I was a big fan of Nick Griffin; I really thought he was the man to lead us up to the gates of power. So did Tony. I was to be very disappointed when I found out that Griffin was not that man. So was Tony.

One of Tony's best friends in nationalism was long standing NF member Norman Tompkinson. Norm (to his mates) was a long standing friend of mine too. I first met Norm at an YNF rally in Digbeth, Birmingham in 1978, and we have kept in touch ever since.

Match Day starts with Tony's early years growing up in multi-racial Birmigham and following Aston Villa all over the country, to knowing all the main hooligans down Villa Park; he has many stories to tell. These include a number of battles with the rival Birmingham City hooligans (known as the Zulu Warriors – yes I kid you not!), to his trial at Birmingham Crown Court after a mass brawl with Manchester United hooligans in a local pub. Tony then becomes politically and racially aware and progressed into the NF.

Here he writes about the meetings, marches, and electioneering with the NF and the clashes with anti-fascists. He eventually moves onto the BNP, where he writes about the BNP's push for electoral power under Griffin, even getting a councillor elected (for a few months anyway) in Birmingham.

Further on down the line Tony writes about his involvement with the loyalist paramilitaries of the UDA, where you get a first hand account of the rise and fall of Johnny Adair (AKA Mad Dog), and the untimely death of Brigadier John 'Grugg' Gregg. By now Tony had linked up with and befriended some of the deadliest Loyalist paramilitaries in Northern Ireland.

Strangely as I write this review another book (*Left Right Loyalist* by Frank Portinari) has just been published. Frank made a very similar journey via football, then British nationalist politics into Ulster Loyalism and the UDA, to Tony, but twenty years earlier. We hope to be able to review Frank's book in a future issue of *H&D.*

Anyway, back to *Match Day.* This is the one book I would recommend for anybody trying to understand the links between British Nationalism and Ulster Loyalism (especially to American readers). Yes there are some factual mistakes, and a few omissions (two out of the three leading Birmingham NF members at the time Tony joined the party in 1992 – John Hill and Bob Jones, don't even get a mention! – and a number of typos – all of which a decent proof reading team could have corrected, and there are no photos, but overall it does not affect the book too much.

Matchday is a book not for the faint hearted, and told as only Tony Simms could tell it. It is gripping and sometimes disturbing as he explains the linkage of young men drawn towards football hooliganism, far-right (his term not mine) British nationalism and the controversy surrounding "the troubles" in Northern Ireland and the Ulster Loyalist paramilitaries. Buckle down and get ready for the ride of your life.

Reviewed by Mark Cotterill, Preston, Lancashire

Letters From Readers

Sir – "Stupidity is far more dangerous than evil, for evil takes a break from time to time, stupidity does not" (Anatole France). So it is with our immigration and asylum policy – or so it appears, for it was Franklin D. Roosevelt who said "….in politics nothing is accidental. If something happens, be assured it was planned this way."

Angela Merkel has been flooding Germany with refugees and for this she has been awarded 'The Coudenhove-Kalergi European Prize'. Who was Coudenhove-Kalergi? Never heard of him? – Well you will now! He was the founder of the Pan-European Movement in 1922 and was the author of *Praktischer Idealismus* (Practical Idealism) in which he wrote: "The man of the future will be of mixed race. Today's races and classes will gradually disappear owing to the vanishing of space and time, and prejudice. The Eurasian – Negroid race of the future similar in appearance to the Ancient Egyptians will replace the diversity of people with a diversity of individuals."

The plan was to unite Europe and flood it with immigrants to enable it to be fused with the Third World to create a totalitarian world government. G. Brock Chisolm, former director of the World Health Organisation, applies the lesson of Kalergi when he says: "What people in all places have to do is to limit birth rates [promotion of Homosexuality?]. And promote mixed marriages [between different races] to create a single race in a world that will be directed by a central authority."

In the 1920s when Kalergi formulated his plan there was no shortage of labour, skill shortage or ageing population. All this before WWII let alone the current war in Syria, so much for the pretexts for immigration. This is also the basis for the current community policies to protect minorities, why Britons were never consulted about the creation of the Multi-Cultural State, why Britons have to accept refugees even though we have no legal or moral obligation to take them. They should be processed at the first country they come to, not travel across a continent to get here. How can unaccompanied children travel all this way without being trafficked?

It now appears that the British people are taken for effete, soft-touch simpletons, who will fall for this nonsense. Such cynical false humanitarianism is being used as a lever to further the evil Kalergi plan to eradicate our identity and to prevent us being able to determine our own destiny in our own land. At least Brexit was a step in the right direction from this, and a vote by the British people largely because of their concerns about immigration.

Milton Friedman stated: "You can have a Welfare State or you can have open borders, but you cannot have both." Who is next up for the Kalergi prize? Will it be Leanne Wood, Nicola Sturgeon, Theresa May or Jeremy Corbyn?

Incidentally, Kalergi is incorrect about the ancient Egyptians, this Eurasian-Negroid race he talks about were the flotsam and jetsam left over after the decline of Egypt. Unlike the decline of some other civilizations. Egypt's decline was not due to the lack of rainfall due to climate change, as they were solely dependent on the Nile which never failed. Neither was it due to soil erosion or lack of fertility (like some other civilizations) because in ancient times there was no Aswan Dam and the Nile flooded the land yearly, providing it with fresh soil and nutrients. The Egyptian environment today is the same as it was before the beginning of recorded history, only the people have changed. The same is happening to Britain and the West today.

Best Regards

Milton Ellis, Newtown, Wales

Sir – Many think of President Assad of Syria as a tyrant and a despotic dictator, as well he might be, but so are most Middle Eastern and African rulers, about whom we in the West do nothing or even actively help with our much derided foreign aid. However under his rule his subjects had water, electricity, schools, plenty of food and hospitals and could live in civilised safety. Not so now. Look at the anarchy caused by Western intervention in Iraq and Syria. In Assad's Syria Jews, Christians and other Muslim sects are free by the law of the Alawite Muslim government; homosexuals are not thrown off of high buildings, there are no beheadings, nobody is burnt alive in cages and he was inclined to be a friend of the West when it came to Islamic terrorism. The alternative to Assad is Islamic State with its Middle-Ages fundamentalism. The West must choose between Assad and IS.

Yours sincerely,

Granville V Stone, Derby, England

Sir – As expected the Labour Party retained its Batley and Spen seat in the recent by election, a task made easier as the main parties had agreed not to contest it. The Nationalist Movement failed to take advantage of this unprecedented opportunity by failing to present a pan-nationalist candidate to the electorate, but instead candidates emerged from the NF, BNP, English Democrats, and Liberty GB, in addition to several independent candidates. The Labour candidate gained 86% of the votes cast, but from a very low poll of 25%. Unfortunately all the other candidates lost their deposits. The by-election is an example of how small nationalist parties prefer to fight separately and ineffectively rather than co-operating for the greater good of the cause. Rome is burning while we fiddle in sheer futility. It could further be argued that we should choose our battles better and that the electorate of Batley and Spen was not receptive to the nationalist message in view of the supposed circumstances of Jo Cox's death and how it had been so conveniently attributed to the 'Far Right'. The situation would be more tolerable if lessons would be learnt, but examples from the past hardly inspire confidence.

Yours sincerely

Alec Victor, Bradford, West Yorkshire

Sir – Is there a more ludicrous sight, than watching a European heads of government meeting convened to discuss Russian aggression and bullying of Eastern European countries? and for the very same people to come out of the meeting, to start threatening Britain with all sorts of consequences for daring to leave their club? With so-called friends like these, who needs enemies? Isn't what these people are saying coming pretty close to an act of war? First one comes out and says that the only alternative to hard Brexit is no Brexit. Then the day after someone else comes out and says that if Britain wants a hard Brexit they will make life as difficult as possible.

On top of that, we have treasonous fools in this country, calling for a vote before article 50 is triggered on God knows what – seeing that nothing can be discussed until after article 50 is triggered. Just trigger it now and stop the delusions on both sides of the channel. Then the Conservatives should call for a vote using a three line whip, any Conservatives voting against should be thrown out of the party. Once the Conservatives have their hundred plus majority, the first order of business, should be a law stating that anyone trying to prevent Brexit should be charged with treason. The perfect way to get rid of the Establishment, starting with Peter Mandelson.

Yours sincerely,

John Swindell, Oldham, Lancashire

Sir – If anyone thought parliament and their MPs worked for the benefit of the people then they only need to read between the headlines of the autumn statement which is filled with new world order objectives.

1) More money being spent for mass house building projects concreting over the country due to mass immigration;
2) More money being spent on road infrastructure which some have already said will be fibre optic cables on the motorways for road tolls (don't forget your wonderful sat nav!);
3) More money for driverless cars, designed like point 2 to curtail your travel and freedom unless you are wealthy or a useful idiot for the NWO;
4) Freeze on already enormous petrol duty which they allege saves the motorist hundreds each year – this is a load of claptrap as it doesn't save the motorist a penny from what they pay now it just means its not going up again!
5) Insurance premium tax going up by 2%.

Once again it is parliament working for those in the shadows who are the enemy to people's freedom (across the globe). When my MP recently resigned he did at least say government were acting in a kind of tyranny. Meanwhile I have recently seen Conservative MPs (who aren't even really ethnic English) continue to push for further sanctions against Russia/Putin.

Michael Fallon recently announced that we are spending billions on joint missile defence with the French and the EU are creating their own army (Britain is cunningly being dragged into by stealth - which some would say lies). The good news is that Trump now joins Putin in trying to stop the new world order. Watch out for false flag events though in the near term. I wish he would put Pat Buchanan and Ron/Rand Paul in his administration.

Great magazine by the way, keep the flag flying.

Racial Regards,,
Max Paddington, Bideford, Devon

Sir – I have just finished reading John Amin's well researched article "Islam and the Nationalist Movement". I am not *per se* a White Nationalist who is fixated on the Muslim question. To me the Muslim/Western conflict is a racial one not political.

I agree that many Muslims have been friends of White Nationalism, united in the knowledge of the Holocaust perpetrated by the Bandit State of Israel against the people of Palestine. I still am intensely opposed to Israel and World Zionism – politically and racially. ZOG is a far worse enemy than Islam could ever be.

Where I take issue with the article are the long quotes from the *Koran.* (Arabs by the way are Not Caucasian but belong to the Hamitic branch of the Greater Asian Race.)

Most Muslims are Asian and therefore the issue of our being swamped by Muslims is not religious in any way but is grouped with the massive invasion of the West by the non-White world.

Going back to the *Koran* I find the writer just reeling off quotations from the Koran as if they were factual. They are not! Are we to believe that Mohammed ascended directly into Paradise on the back of a Pink Unicorn?

But the same is true of all religions. They are all based on falsehoods and fairy tales. Anyone with a decent knowledge of science – particularly Cosmology and Evolution – could not avoid viewing all religious claims as Medieval claptrap.

Christianity has murdered more White people than Islam ever could. To mention two examples – the annihilation of the Pagan Saxon Germans by Charlemagne or the Thirty Years' War – where millions of Whites tortured and killed millions of other Whites over the interpretation of that book of complete fabrication, the Christian Bible.

In my life I have seen more visual evidence for the existence of the Tooth Fairy than I have of my 'Creator '. (He didn't leave a shilling under my pillow but the Tooth Fairy did!) When the day comes when all religions die off for the fallacies they are, then we will have a much saner world – devoid of nail bombers and witch burners. I would recommend readers two books – firstly *The God Delusion* by Richard Dawkins and *God is NOT Great* by the late Christopher Hitchens.

May your God not go with you.
Eddy Morrison, Leeds, Yorkshire

Sir – Surely White nationalists must be aware that Trump's victory was in large part due to his addressing the issue of Islam and Muslim terrorists. In Holland, France and Germany we see similar benefits from taking Islam on. What do you think the results would be if we ignored the issue or defended Muslims, as John Amin (*H&D* #75) seems to want to do? Islamic violence is an issue we can ride to power on, then we can tackle the other problems – but only if we have the power of holding public office given to us freely by our people! And if our people see us as being on the wrong side? We gain nothing by supporting Islam, we gain even less by converting to Islam. Islam has nothing to offer Western man, nothing to offer Western civilization, nothing to offer White nationalists in the 21st century. Allying ourselves with Islam will only end up dragging us down to defeat and to hell along with the vermin who were expecting 72 Virgins but have since learned there are no pleasures in Hell! Likewise we gain nothing by allying ourselves with Zionist interests which are not our interests either. We have our own homelands and that should suffice for us! But at least Jews are not actively seeking converts. If one wishes to become a Jew one must go out of one's way to become one. Not so with Islam! With them it's convert, pay a tax, die or leave. I prefer to believe that most Britons prefer a free will doctrine to a convert or die doctrine. There is an old saying: A man convinced against his will is of the same opinion still. Reading Ian Freeman's review of *Haiters, Baiters and Would-Be Dictators* in the latest issue brought these thoughts to mind.

Cheers and good luck my British cousins!
John D. Alder, Port St. Lucie, Florida

Peter Rushton replies: I expect that Messrs Morrison and Alder would each agree with me that our cause is essentially about Race and Nation: the nation state (and winning political power within it) is only useful in so far as it defends and advances the interests of our embattled race. While Mr Morrison is of course correct that the majority of Muslims in the UK are non-White immigrants (and have been here for at most three generations) there is no particular reason (other than historical accident) why British racial nationalists should be pro- or anti- any particular religion per se. *Until the 16th century we were (almost) all Catholic. As regards Mr Alder's* realpolitik, *we should bear in mind that anti-Islamic posturing has political consequences way beyond winning a particular election. On December 30th the British Prime Minister as well as President-elect Trump emphasised their extreme pro-Zionist line: this will encourage further Israeli settlement expansion. Is Zionist imperialism really in the broad interests of the White world? Yet the logic of Islamo-obsessive 'nationalism' drags us into such alliances, even though traditional Anglo-American conservatives – let alone nationalists – saw the importance of alliances with the Muslim world.*



Movement News Update

Late 2016 saw remainers' revenge, as the referendum's losing side rallied to defeat the government in a High Court action on November 3rd (forcing a parliamentary vote on Brexit), then ousted one of the best known parliamentary eurosceptics at a by-election in **Richmond Park**, a prosperous South West London constituency.

The by-election was forced by an unusual resignation: Zac Goldsmith (Conservative MP for Richmond Park since 2010) was fulfilling his promise to quit after Theresa May's goverment approved the locally unpopular third runway for Heathrow Airport. Goldsmith fought the by-election as an independent, backed openly by UKIP and tacitly by most local Tories, who did not field a candidate against him.

Former NF member Victoria Ayling (*above left*) campaigning as UKIP candidate at the Sleaford & North Hykeham by-election with former party leader Nigel Farage.

However, although Goldsmith's stance against airport expansion was locally popular and he had enjoyed a majority of 23,015 at the 2015 general election (making Richmond Park one of the top 30 safest Tory seats that year), he faced two problems in the by-election. At the London mayoral election in May 2016, Goldsmith was perceived to have fought a ‘dirty’, ‘Islamophobic’ and even ‘racist’ campaign when losing to Labour's Sadiq Khan. This meant that the small minority of Labourites in Richmond Park were likely to vote tactically against him.

Far more seriously, Goldsmith was a leading eurosceptic – in fact his late father, notorious financier Sir James Goldsmith – had been Britain's best known eurosceptic in the pre-Farage era, financing his own Referendum Party at the 1997 general election. Though this stance would be an asset in most of England, it was an electoral albatross in Richmond Park. (The local Richmond council area voted 69% - 31% for Remain.)

Though most pundits had expected him to survive, Goldsmith duly lost to an inexperienced Liberal Democrat, Sarah Olney, whose campaign had almost entirely focused on opposition to Brexit. One consolation for pro-Brexiters is that socially liberal, prosperous and ethnically tolerant Richmond Park is a very atypical area. Unsurprisingly there was no nationalist candidate.

A very different parliamentary by-election followed a week later on December 8th in **Sleaford & North Hykeham**, a Lincolnshire constituency in a strongly pro-Brexit area whose MP (pro-Remain QC Stephen Phillips) quit the Commons due to lack of promotion and lack of sympathy with Theresa May's new government. Though Phillips' Tory successor Caroline Johnson was herself a Brexiteer, UKIP had high hopes of a strong result and fielded one of their best known candidates, Lincolnshire councillor Victoria Ayling.

Ms Ayling was a National Front member as a student during the late 1970s and was caught on camera in 2013 making politically incorrect comments about immigration: “I just want to send the lot back, but I can't say that.” Although UKIP's rulebook bars former NF or BNP members (as well as some other nationalist groups) from joining the party, there is an unofficial cutoff date allowing in nationalist veterans from 1979 or earlier.

For example Robert Ray – who was a **Newham** NF activist and candidate in 1977 – became a UKIP councillor in **Thurrock** in 2012 (though he was later expelled from the party after a drink-driving conviction), Similarly Martyn Heale – UKIP chairman in the **Thanet South** constituency contested by Nigel Farage – was **Hammersmith** NF organiser until 1979, before spending twenty years as a Tory activist.

Despite these precedents, Ms Ayling would have become by far the most significant ex-nationalist in UKIP, and would surely have been the first ex-NF member to be elected an MP. (The Ulster Unionist MP for Belfast South, Rev. Robert Bradford, murdered by the IRA in 1981, had been an NF supporter in the mid-'70s without actually joining; ex-NF official Tom Finnegan was Tory candidate for Stockton South in 1983, and would have won the seat had his nationalist past not been exposed during the campaign.)

Sadly it was not to be. Ms Ayling finished a distant runner-up in the by-election, polling 13.5% (down 2.2% since 2015). She was possibly handicapped by some of UKIP's internal divisions across Lincolnshire over the years, but in fact her result was broadly in line with UKIP's disappointing nationwide electoral trajectory. It is a sign of desperation in nationalist ranks that so many of our colleagues have seriously suggested UKIP is the way forward: regardless of ideological considerations, the evidence suggests that UKIP is more likely to be heading for extinction than for an electoral breakthrough. Too many nationalists have allowed themselves to be carried away by political fantasy, without examining the facts.

Brexit has not yet produced a boost in UKIP's electoral fortunes: quite the reverse. As the party's national leadership collapsed in chaos and (sometimes literal) infighting, the pattern at local by-elections has generally been for the party's vote to collapse to half its previous level. This gloom has been relieved by very occasional, spectacular successes, but the overall trend is clear.

At two by-elections in the Sussex borough of **Rother** on October 27th, UKIP continued its usual pattern: falling from 16.8% to 7.3% in one ward, and from 9.3% to 4.8% in the other.

Then on November 3rd UKIP again failed to make a breakthrough in Burnley, former jewel in the BNP crown. This was a by-election for the **Lancashire** County Council division of Burnley Central East, which combines two and a half Burnley borough council wards – the 80% Asian Daneshouse with Stonyholme; the 97% White Brunshaw (won by the BNP in 2003); and part of the 70% White Bank Hall.

UKIP had contested Burnley Central East for the first time in 2013, finishing second with 675 votes (19.4%). In last month's by-election their vote fell to 249 (12.7%) – despite two obvious factors in their favour, the absence of Tories and BNP from the by-election (these two parties having polled a combined 7% in 2013).

Due to the Asian element, this division would never be a top UKIP target – but the Brunshaw ward should certainly be winnable, as should many other parts of Burnley. Instead of which the party is going backwards. Clearly there is much work to do for Paul Nuttall, whose main pitch for the party leadership involves targeting Labour's northern heartlands. At next year's May elections, one or two of the Burnley divisions ought to offer the best opportunity for UKIP to gain its first Lancashire county councillors, but present trends offer little hope.

On the same day UKIP votes slumped in various other by-elections, notably in the safe Tory ward of Rainham Central, **Medway**, where UKIP's Mark Mencattelli was runner-up with 16.4% (down from 21.6% last year). As with some other Kent councils, Medway is among the few areas with an English Democrats branch, but the EDs' Mike Russell finished bottom of the poll with 0.6%.

A couple of by-elections on November 10th were the first chance to observe whether UKIP would benefit from a post-Trump bounce. The first evidence was negative. UKIP fell from 19.5% to 5.1% in Eltham North ward, **Greenwich**, and from 13.4% to 6.9% in Southwater ward, **Horsham**. Meanwhile at the humblest level of local government, UKIP lost a town council seat in **Shepshed**, Leicestershire, to the Conservatives.

The Lancashire borough of **Pendle** is well known to *H&D* readers for having the last surviving BNP councillor – Brian Parker in Marsden ward. On November 24th there was a by-election in another Pendle ward – Reedley, a 60% White ward on the Pendle-Burnley border, just a few miles south of Cllr Parker's territory. However neither the BNP nor UKIP contested Reedley. (Pendle is one of the boroughs with huge electoral potential, where UKIP has always underachieved and has never elected a single councillor.) On the same day UKIP similarly failed to contest Sovereign ward, **Eastbourne**, where they had polled 21.1% in 2015, or Blakelaw ward, **Newcastle**, where they had been runners-up to Labour with 16.1% in 2015.

On December 1st UKIP sought to defend a county council seat in the Ferndown division of **Dorset**, caused by the resignation of Ian Smith. Cllr Smith had been amazed to win election in a double-vacancy contest in 2013, since he had done no work and expected his UKIP colleague Peter Lucas to finish ahead of him: instead it was Mr Smith who won election alongside a Conservative, with Mr Lucas finishing fourth. (The likely reason for this strange result is that Mr Lucas had been exposed as a former BNP member.) In the circumstances – since Mr Smith had done no campaigning and had shown little interest in being a councillor – it's surprising he lasted more than three years on the council, but then he strangely timed his resignation just before the "six-month" rule, which would have allowed the seat to remain vacant until next May's elections. Predictably UKIP's vote crashed from 44.6% to 30.4% and the Tory candidate gained Ferndown with a majority of almost 1,000 votes. UKIP no longer has a Dorset councillor.

National Front marchers approaching Whitehall, Remembrance Sunday 2016

Just ten days before Christmas, Labour defended the Higher Croft ward of **Blackburn with Darwen,** following the death of long serving councillor Mike Johnson. UKIP had been runners-up here in 2015 with 33.3% but amid typical disarray in their Blackburn branch had failed to contest Higher Croft in this year's May elections.

At the December 15th by-election, UKIP had a straight fight against Labour and the Tories, finishing runner-up with 187 votes (25.0%), down 8.3% on their previous result in the ward nineteen months earlier. While this is far better than most recent UKIP votes, one must bear in mind that Higher Croft has enormous nationalist potential. Standing for the very tiny England First Party (backed by *H&D*) in 2007, Ian Lofthouse polled 269 votes (15.2%), while at the BNP's local peak in 2006, Natalie Jeal was runner-up with 527 votes (29.6%).

In short, Higher Croft is one of the best examples of the type of northern council ward that new UKIP leader Paul Nuttall has said he intends to target. Unless they can poll much higher than 25% in the likes of Higher Croft, Nuttall will struggle to hit a single target.

The National Front and British National Party each held major activities in London during November. On November 13th the NF's traditional Remembrance Sunday event saw around 70 patriots (including members of several other groups in addition to NF stalwarts) march through central London to the Cenotaph on Whitehall, where a wreath was laid to those of our race who gave their lives in the terrible military conflicts of the past century. NF chairman Dave McDonald, Directorate member Richard Edmonds, and London Forum chairman Jez Turner addressed a rally nearby after the ceremony.

Seven days later on November 20th the BNP held its annual conference in central London, attended by just over forty members (slightly up from last year). As has been the case for several years now, the main purpose of this event was to manufacture an online report giving the impression that the party is still active, with the aim of misleading potential donors and legators.

Meanwhile former BNP official Paul Golding temporarily stepped down from the leadership of Britain First, apparently for family reasons, in November 2016. A few weeks later he was sentenced to eight weeks in prison for breach of a High Court order which had banned him from entering a mosque or encouraging others to do so. This followed a confrontation in August at a mosque in Cardiff, the day after imposition of the court's injunction.

Golding's deputy Jayda Fransen (presently temporary leader of Britain First) was convicted on November 4th of racially aggravated public order offences. Luton Magistrates Court ordered Ms Fransen to pay a total of £1,920 in fines and court costs. The charges were based on incidents in January, when Britain First members confronted Muslims in the Bury Park area of Luton.

On November 26th more than forty nationalists from various groups attended the Yorkshire Forum in Bradford, where speakers included ex-MEP Andrew Brons, NF Directorate member Richard Edmonds, and London Forum founder Jez Turner. This was the most successful YF event so far.

On November 12th the Alliance for Peace and Freedom – a cross-party European nationalist group led by Roberto Fiore of the Italian nationalist party Forza Nuova – held an international conference in Rome on the related problems of immigration and terrorism. Speakers included Nick Griffin (Fiore's old ally in the 1980s 'political soldier' faction of the NF); Udo Voigt, MEP for the German NPD; Marian Kotleba, leader of the Slovak nationalist People's Party – Our Slovakia; Swedish nationalist Stefan Jacobsson; and Lahoud Lahdo, a Syrian working in Belgium who is a staunch supporter of President Assad's government.

Towards the end of 2016 several linked events indicated that Theresa May's government will preside over unprecedented criminalisation of nationalist and other politically incorrect activity.

On December 16th the youth group National Action was banned by order of the Home Secretary under the 2000 Terrorism Act, which allows the government to maintain a list of proscribed organisations. NA is the first organisation of the 'far right' to be banned in this manner (the 84 other groups presently banned are mostly either Islamist or linked to various forms of Ulster paramilitaries). In the same week an NA member, Lawrence Burns, was convicted under the existing race laws at Cambridge Crown Court: he will be sentenced in the New Year. I was a defence witness at Mr Burns' trial. An article on the NA banning and the Burns trial will appear in the next edition of *H&D*.

On November 26th, less than three weeks before the ban, a few NA members had attended an anti-mosque demonstration in Bolton, Lancashire. Most of the hundred or so in attendance were affiliated to the North West Infidels, with a few NF. On November 5th a larger number of NA members had attended a march in Darlington, organised by Simon Biggs' Northern Nationalist group, which was effectively NA's last major public activity. The uniformed NA activists were among about 100 marchers, including groups from the SDL and NEI.

A final court appearance of 2016 for freedom-loving patriots was at the City of Westminster Magistrates Court, Marylebone, on December 15th and 23rd, where singer Alison Chabloz faced a private prosecution under the Communications Act 2003, brought by an ultra-Zionist pressure group called the Campaign Against Anti-Semitism. This case will resume in the New Year: due to legal restrictions we cannot comment further but will have a full report in a later issue.

Peter Rushton, Manchester, England

Editor's note: In our last issue we wrongly stated that B&H had their planned concert near Falkirk, Scotland, featuring the famous American racialist band Bound For Glory, cancelled a few days before it was to go ahead on October 22nd. We have since been informed by B&H that the concert had nothing to do with them, and it was being independently organised by one Vicky Pearson.



Movie Review – *Imperium*

Released UK – September 2016. Distributed by Lionsgate Premiere. Directed by: Daniel Ragussis; Rated: R; Running time: 109 minutes.

As I explained in issue 75 of *H&D* I was unable to see *Imperium* on the big screen in the UK, due to only six cinemas showing it, none of which were near me. I then asked our American assistant editor Martin Kerr if he would review the movie for *H&D*. Sadly he too had the same problem, i.e. hardly any mainstream cinemas in his local (DC Metro) area were showing it. All very odd, for a movie than was being heavily advertised both here and in the USA beforehand.



Thankfully one of our subscribers got me a copy on DVD, which I watched via my little (computer) screen. Oh for the joys of modern technology and all that stuff!

Imperium the movie – rather than *Imperium* the book (which was written by Francis Parker Yockey in 1948 and was reviewed in *H&D* # 10) – was both written and directed by Daniel Ragusis, who got the story from Michael German a retired FBI agent, who is now a fellow of the (very left-wing, New York City based) Brennan Centre of Justice. In his sixteen-year career in the FBI, German claims to have spent twenty months undercover, first among neo-Nazis and then among right-wing militias. He then wrote *Thinking like a Terrorist: Insights of a Former FBI Undercover Agent,* and joined forces with Ragussis in creating the screenplay for *Imperium,* starring former Harry Potter star Daniel Radcliffe as an FBI officer working undercover inside America's neo-Nazi terrorist movement.

There's only one problem here – America does not have a neo-Nazi terrorist movement, and many would say it does not even have a nationalist political movement anymore (but that's a debate I'm sure we will have in another article in another issue).

The movie is meant to be based in and around the Washington DC area – where I myself was active in the "movement" for over seven years, yet nothing at all in the movie looks even vaguely familiar.

Yes we did have Skinheads, but they were very few and far between at the AR and CofCC conferences and AF-BNP meetings that did really happen. The Skinhead and neo-Nazi scene shown in *Imperium* may have once existed on the West Coast – mainly around the White-flight suburbs of LA, many years ago, but it's certainly not here now and has never been active in the DC area.

So onto the movie, which hopefully you will be able to pick up in the pure-fiction section of HMV very soon. "You seem pretty mature for a skinhead," observes Gerry Conway (a Jared Taylor like figure played by Sam Trammell) of Nate Foster (Daniel Radcliffe) in which the former plays a nebbish, classical-music-loving FBI agent under deep cover in the neo-Nazi underworld. It's a strange acknowledgement of the unlikeliest of the casting, which turns out to be one of the movie's only strengths. While maturing male actors from Ryan Gosling to Russell Crowe and Edward Norton have strapped on boots, braces and swastikas as a sort of early proof of the toughness and danger of which they're capable, Radcliffe's performance asks how someone danger-averse and not-at-all tough would ingratiate himself into that dark milieu?

Unlike *The Believer* (reviewed in *H&D* # 12), *Betrayed, American History X*, or even the Australian *Romper Stomper, Imperium* is pure fantasy, it's not at all concerned with exploring the political or psychological ideology that drives some young men (and women) to join skinhead and/or neo-Nazi-groups. Indeed, though based on a story (more likely a dream) by former FBI agent Michael German (who claims much of the narrative on his own undercover experiences), *Imperium's* depiction of the White-nationalist underground is ultimately background for a straightforward potboiler.

Radcliffe's Nate Foster is a brilliant FBI agent, but wet-behind-the-ears, disappointed to discover the Negro Jihadist terrorist suspect he's been tracking for weeks is really just a low-level functionary. And yet his ability to empathize with the suspect during questioning attracts the attention of a superior, Angela Zamparo (Toni Collette), who suspects an extremist White nationalist group is trying to build a dirty bomb, and thinks an Alex Jones-styled, right-wing web-radio host (Dallas Wolfe – played by Tracy Letts) might have knowledge of it. Desperate to make his name in the agency, Nate agrees to become her mole.

With nonexistent combat skills or field experience, Nate shaves his head and dives into White nationalist literature, learning all of the passwords and half-secret codes he needs to pass for an American movement activist, and inventing attributes (a military past, a knowledge of chemistry) that would make him a particularly attractive recruit.

Once introduced into the scene, Nate encounters a range of distinct, tenuously collaborative groups. There are neo-Nazi skinheads, mostly belligerent and none-too-bright; the better-organized Aryan Brotherhood, led by an imposing, militaristic taskmaster Andrew Blackwell (Chris Sullivan); the goonish, almost dorky Klansmen; and most arrestingly, a polite, clean-living, wealthy family man named Gerry Conway whose "extremist" heart is concealed behind a seemingly reasonable, empathetic exterior.

In little time Nate becomes a sort of Zelig of the White nationalist underworld, moving freely among factions and forging alliances as Angela needles him for actionable intel on terrorist activities. Despite a few overly convenient plot pivots, once the movie gets moving, it tightens the screws and forces Nate to think on his feet, building a good deal of momentum. Never resorting to rote gunplay or secret-agent clichés, smartly written scenes concoct difficult dilemmas – how to stop one of his skinhead buddies from killing an interracial couple without blowing his cover; how to avoid being recognized at a White Power march by a Negro friend (on the other side!); being quizzed on how to spot an infiltrator in your ranks! – all with believable solutions.

It helps that Radcliffe effectively conveys the character's intelligence, including the not-at-all simple trick of suggesting a racing mind without betraying hints of panic (which we saw many times in the *Harry Potter* movies).

But the real cast standout in my view anyway, is Sam Trammell, whose character Gerry Conway manages – just for a short while anyway – to give the American movement a sense of almost normality, that's before he tries to blow up part of Washington DC!

As most readers on both sides of 'the Pond' will not have been able to watch *Imperium* on the big screen, due to so few cinemas showing it, I would normally recommend buying it on DVD. But to be honest it's just not worth it. If you really want to watch it a much better and cheaper bet is to wait until it comes out on TV.

Reviewed by Mark Cotterill, Preston, Lancashire